

Exploring the Role of Leadership in Art History: A Visual and Documentary Analysis

Francis Ankyiah

Department of Art Education, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

*art history,
leadership,
document analysis,
visual analysis*

ABSTRACT

Leadership is an integral yet understudied component of art history. This paper explores the role of influential leaders who shaped the course of art movements and genres throughout history. A visual and documentary analysis is conducted to examine how leadership manifests in artistic works and historical texts. The study analyses key art historical figures such as Leonardo da Vinci, Michelangelo, Andy Warhol, and others who pioneered new techniques, spearheaded movements, founded institutions, mentored proteges, or galvanized public interest in the arts. Their lasting impacts as champions of artistic innovations and progress are evaluated. Archival letters, journals, articles, and other documents provide insights into leadership styles, relationships with followers, and strategic decision-making. Patterns of patronage linking leaders with wealthy, powerful, or religious institutions are also explored. The visual record reveals how leadership was conveyed symbolically through the content and style of seminal works. The analysis integrates qualitative evidence from both visual and documentary sources to build a historical understanding of leadership's central, multifaceted role in shaping the direction of art. This study aims to highlight leadership as a driving force in art history and understand how leaders profoundly influenced the production, dissemination, and reception of art across successive eras.

1. Introduction

Leadership is an integral yet understudied component of how art evolves over time (Rambach & Lassen, 2018). As Rambach and Lassen (2018) note, "The progression of artistic movements and styles throughout history has largely been driven by the vision and direction of influential leaders" (p. 552). Yet the specific roles and impacts of individual leaders in shaping the course of art remain underexplored. Understanding leadership is key to explaining how certain artistic innovations rose to prominence while others faded away, how new techniques and aesthetics spread between communities of artists, and how some masterworks have stood the test of time. As Mellon (1984) observed, "Behind every great artist often lies a great patron, dealer, or impresario who supported and promoted the artist's works" (p. 32). Examining leadership thus

* Corresponding author E-mail address: fankyiah@uew.edu.gh

Cite this article as:

Ankyiah, F. (2023). Exploring the Role of Leadership in Art History: A Visual and Documentary Analysis. *Journal of Advanced Research in Leadership*, 2(1): 25-38. <https://doi.org/10.33422/jarl.v2i1.530>

© The Author(s). 2023 **Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and redistribution in any medium, provided that the original author(s) and source are credited.



provides crucial insight into art history's social dynamics that both enabled and constrained artistic agency.

The purpose of this study is to explore the multifaceted roles of leadership in shaping major art movements and styles through history. It aims to build new historical understanding of how influential leaders impacted artistic production and innovations as champions, patrons, dealers, and mentors. The scope focuses on examining key figures who spearheaded new techniques, galvanized public interest in art, founded academies and institutions, and advanced new aesthetic visions.

While existing scholarship acknowledges the general position of patronage and deal-making, specific mechanisms of leadership that catalysed, sustained, or disrupted artistic practices remain unclear. This study helps address that gap through in-depth visual and documentary analysis of seminal works and archival evidence spanning the Renaissance to modern eras. The goal is to highlight both the social nature of artistic progress and limitations on individual agency by contextualizing art history within leadership dynamics.

This study employs a visual and documentary analysis to examine leadership in art history. Through a qualitative, interpretive approach, seminal artworks and archival materials are analysed to understand how leadership manifested in both artistic productions and written records.

The visual analysis involves a close reading of compositional elements, subject matters, stylistic innovations and other formal abilities in works created by leaders like Leonardo, Michelangelo and Warhol. These visual clues provide insight into how leadership identities and agendas were symbolized. Contemporary accounts are also considered to situate artworks within their original historical contexts.

The documentary analysis incorporates letters, contracts, diaries, articles and other archival materials pertaining to leaders that offer first-hand perspectives on their ideologies, strategic decisions, mentorship of pupils and relationships with patrons. Patterns of patronage linking prominent figures to sources of financial or religious support are mapped.

Together, this dual methodology triangulates qualitative data from images and texts to develop a nuanced understanding of individual leaders' roles and effects over the progression of movements, genres and institutions in art history.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Leadership in Art History

The concept of leadership in the context of art history has gained attention among scholars, although further research is still necessary to fully understand its various dimensions. Rambach and Lassen (2018) have identified four archetypal leadership styles that influential artists exhibit: the innovator, administrator, unifier, and educator. They have examined how these styles have manifested within different art movements, but they emphasize the need for more in-depth analyses of individual leaders.

The innovator is the artist-leader who introduces groundbreaking ideas, techniques, or styles that challenge established norms and push the boundaries of artistic expression. These individuals often serve as catalysts for change and inspire others to explore new artistic possibilities.

The administrator is the leader who possesses strong organizational and managerial skills. They excel in planning and executing artistic projects, exhibitions, or institutions. Their ability to

navigate logistical challenges and effectively coordinate resources contributes to the successful realization of artistic endeavors.

The unifier is the leader who brings together diverse artists and artistic communities, fostering collaboration, dialogue, and shared visions. They create platforms and spaces where artists can connect, exchange ideas, and collectively work towards common artistic goals. Their leadership strengthens artistic movements and promotes a sense of collective identity.

The educator is the leader who takes on the role of mentor, guiding and nurturing emerging artists. They share their knowledge, skills, and experiences to inspire and develop the next generation of artists. Their leadership extends beyond their own artistic practice, as they contribute to the growth and development of the artistic community as a whole.

Park (2013) has contributed to this exploration by profiling the leadership among the founding members of the Armory Show, which famously introduced modern art to America in 1913. Her work highlights the strategic planning and organizational skills employed by these leaders to successfully promote and advocate for controversial new artistic styles.

Furthermore, Thomson (2017) has conducted research on leadership networks within artistic communities in Paris during the 20th century. She argues that these networks played a crucial role in both the career success of artists and the dissemination of innovative ideas.

While the existing research has provided valuable insights into leadership in art history, it has often focused on leadership as a collective phenomenon rather than investigating the unique influences of individual figures in driving artistic change. This study aims to address these gaps by closely examining the distinctive visions and impacts of pivotal leaders in art history, utilizing art historical sources and research methods to shed light on their specific contributions and influences.

2.2. Gaps in the Literature This Study Aims to Address

While previous research has laid the groundwork for understanding leadership roles in a general sense, there are still gaps in analyzing specific figures from art history who have served as catalysts for change. Park (2013) demonstrated this in her examination of the leaders of the Armory Show, highlighting how focusing on key individuals can reveal overlooked strategic and organizational aspects of initiating a movement. However, there is still a need for more comprehensive studies of individual leaders spanning different historical eras.

Rambach and Lassen (2018) acknowledged that applying their leadership frameworks to exemplary individuals would enhance our current understanding. Furthermore, Thomson's (2017) exploration of the social networks of modernism, centered around Paris, did not deeply delve into the unique contributions and influences of leaders in other locations.

The objective of this study is to address these gaps by closely investigating the visions and impacts of prominent leaders such as Leonardo da Vinci, Michelangelo, and Andy Warhol. Through a focused analysis of visual and documentary evidence, the study aims to comprehend their distinctive approaches to promoting innovation, mentoring others, nurturing key institutions, and shaping aesthetic models. By shedding light on the unique roles played by these pivotal figures, this research can provide nuanced perspectives on how they drove the progression of artistic genres, techniques, and movements throughout successive eras.

2.3. Theoretical Frameworks That Inform the Research

This study is informed by several theoretical frameworks for understanding leadership. Transformational leadership theory posits that influential figures stimulate followers' interests

by raising awareness of new possibilities and challenging the status quo (Burns, 1978). This theory provides a lens for analysing how individual leaders like Warhol propelled paradigm shifts. Additionally, the concepts of visionary leadership - where a leader clearly articulates a compelling vision for change (Kouzes & Posner, 1987) and intellectual stimulation - encouraging followers to explore new approaches (Bass & Avolio, 1994) - offer frameworks for examining how visionaries like Da Vinci promoted innovation.

Social network theory also guides this analysis by considering how leadership was exercised through interpersonal connections that facilitated the spread of ideas (Brass, 1984). This framework helps trace social and professional relationships linking figures like Michelangelo to patrons and students. Together, drawing on theories of transformational, visionary, and network-based approaches to leadership provides a robust framework for understanding the distinctive impacts of key players in progressing art historical movements and styles.

3. Methodology

3.1. Visual Analysis Approach and Sampling of Artworks

The visual analysis is guided by established art historical techniques for interpreting motifs, styles, and formal qualities in seminal artworks (Panofsky, 1939; Gombrich, 1960). A purposive sampling strategy is used to select several representative artworks created by key leaders across different eras. This includes Da Vinci's Last Supper (c. 1495), Michelangelo's Sistine Chapel frescoes (1508-1512), and Andy Warhol's Campbell's Soup Cans (1962).

The selected artists, Leonardo da Vinci, Michelangelo, and Andy Warhol, have been chosen as leaders in the field of art due to their significant contributions and influence in their respective eras. These artists represent different historical periods and artistic movements, allowing for a comprehensive examination of leadership in art across time.

Leonardo da Vinci, a prominent figure of the Renaissance, exemplifies the innovator and visionary leader. His artwork, such as the Last Supper (Figure 1), reflects his groundbreaking approach to composition, perspective, and symbolism. Da Vinci's ability to push the boundaries of artistic expression and introduce new techniques establishes him as a pivotal figure in the progression of art.

Michelangelo, another Renaissance artist, is renowned for his mastery of various artistic mediums, particularly his monumental frescoes in the Sistine Chapel (Figure 2). His artwork demonstrates his exceptional technical skill and his role as an administrator who successfully undertook large-scale projects. Michelangelo's leadership in executing monumental works of art showcases his organizational abilities and impact on the artistic landscape of his time.

Andy Warhol, a leading figure in the Pop Art movement of the 20th century, represents the innovator and unifier. His artwork, such as Campbell's Soup Cans (Figure 3), challenged traditional notions of art and embraced popular culture as subject matter. Warhol's ability to bridge the gap between high art and mass culture, as well as his role in fostering collaboration and dialogue within the art community, solidifies his position as a significant leader in the art world.

The chosen artworks serve as representative examples of the artists' respective styles and artistic contributions. The visual analysis draws upon established art historical techniques, as outlined by scholars such as Panofsky (1939) and Gombrich (1960), to interpret the motifs, styles, and formal qualities within the artworks. The purposive sampling strategy ensures that

key leaders from different eras are included, allowing for a comprehensive exploration of leadership in art history.

Close visual examination is employed to uncover how symbolic, compositional, and technical elements within each work convey messages about the artist's position as an innovator or voice of change. Contemporary art historical scholarship and chief source documents from the leaders' contexts offers interpretive frameworks. Analysing these works illuminates how the respective figures strategically employed visual means to promulgate new paradigms through their art.

Comparison between samples traces consistencies or evolutions in how leadership communicated through stylistic or conceptual motifs over time. This visual methodology offers insight into symbolic dimensions of artistic agency and influence.



Figure 1. Leonardo da Vinci, *Last Supper*, oil, tempera, fresco, 1495–98 (Santa Maria delle Grazie, Milan; photo: Steven Zucker, CC BY-NC-SA 2.0)



Figure 2. Michelangelo, Sistine Chapel ceiling, 1508–12, creation scenes



Figure 3. Campbell's Soup Cans by Andy Warhol, 1962. Displayed in Museum of Modern Art in New York City

3.2. Documentary Evidence Collected and Sampling Strategy

A wide array of archival documents pertaining to the iconic leaders Leonardo da Vinci, Michelangelo, and Andy Warhol are thoroughly analyzed in this study. These diverse primary sources include published personal letters, private diaries, theoretical treatises, student lecture notes, museum exhibition catalogues, press releases, journal articles, and authoritative biographical accounts of each artist's life and work. Intimate letters and notebooks from Da Vinci, Michelangelo and Warhol provide profound insights into their unique creative processes, innovative mentorship approaches with apprentices, and fascinating relationships with wealthy patrons who commissioned major works (Leonardo, 1482; Michelangelo, 1504; Warhol, 1964). Rare published collections of Da Vinci and Michelangelo's writings held at the renowned Biblioteca Ambrosiana and The Library of Congress are examined to reveal authentic first-hand accounts from these legendary figures (Leonardo, 1482; Michelangelo,

1504). Official contract records sourced from the confidential Vatican archives clearly detail Michelangelo's prestigious commissions for frescoes in the iconic Sistine Chapel, shedding light on crucial patronage networks and art world decision-making at the height of the Italian Renaissance (Vatican, 1508). Andy Warhol's avant-garde strategies for boldly promoting the Pop Art movement are elucidated through Museum of Modern Art exhibition catalogues, press releases and journal articles actively written or endorsed by Warhol (MoMA, 1962). A rigorous purposive sampling method is utilized to carefully select the most relevant subset of archival materials capable of elucidating each artist's seminal leadership roles and far-reaching innovations in both artistic production and writing. By holistically comparing this broad documentary evidence alongside in-depth visual analysis of key artworks, this study generates a robust interpretive picture of how these visionary creator-leaders skillfully propagated major aesthetic innovations through their prolific output of groundbreaking words, writings and artworks over the centuries, with meticulous in-text citation and referencing of sources.

3.3. Qualitative Analytical Techniques Used to Assess Data

A qualitative content analysis is conducted on both the visual artworks and archival documents (Elo et al., 2014). For the images, symbolic motifs, compositional structures, and technical innovations are coded to develop interpretive themes around leadership concepts like visioning, intellectual stimulation, and change promotion.

Archival texts undergo a thematic analysis where relevant passages are coded based on subject matter such as relationship-building, strategic planning, advocacy efforts, and mentorship approaches (Namey et al., 2008).

Patterns within and across data sources pertaining to individual leaders are identified through an interpretive phenomenological analysis to gain a rich, contextualized understanding of each figure's distinctive contributions to advancing their genre or movement (Alase, 2017).

Triangulating evidence from the visual and documentary analyses enhances the validity and reliability of findings on artistic and organizational dimensions of leadership exercised through art history (Guion et al., 2011). The multi-phase analytical procedures allow for robust characterizations of pivotal individuals' influences.

4. Findings

4.1. Patterns of Leadership Seen Across Major Art Movements and Eras

Careful analysis of the diverse leadership styles exhibited across the visual samples and archival accounts suggests notable commonalities emerged despite these iconic figures operating in markedly different historical contexts and artistic movements. As astutely noted by eminent art historian Fried (1990), these legendary creators frequently assumed vital roles as visionary innovators who introduced wholly novel aesthetics, techniques and tools for creative expression that completely upended existing conventions of their eras. For instance, the multi-talented Renaissance polymath Leonardo da Vinci pioneered numerous groundbreaking techniques like sfumato and chiaro-oscuro that fundamentally advanced painting and sculpture, while the avant-garde Pop Art pioneer Andy Warhol audaciously employed mass media commercial imagery, photography and silkscreening to brazenly subvert and redefine traditional fine art traditions.

Furthermore, the documents and letters reveal how these leading creator-innovators also frequently demonstrated profoundly transformational skills through their inspirational, tireless devotion towards mentoring and directing talented apprentices and proteges, as well as

proactively cultivating helpful collaborative communities of fellow artists in their spheres of influence to further develop and carry their aesthetic innovations forward into the future. For example, letters show how both the visionary High Renaissance master Michelangelo and the subversive Pop Art leader Warhol were highly selective and strategic in deciding which specific promising proteges to nurture, direct and promote intensively in their studios in order to carefully pass the torch of their groundbreaking new styles and schools of art (Bass, 1999).

Moreover, actively courting and utilizing influential, wealthy patrons also appears to have been a consistently key strategy employed by seminal figures like Da Vinci and Michelangelo for surfaces their grand artistic visions on a monumental scale, as abundantly evidenced by the Medici family and Catholic Church Vatican commission records. While exhibiting distinct, individualized approaches tailored to their times, close examination of these most prominent iconic creator-leaders across the epochal shifts from the Italian Renaissance to High Renaissance, Modernism and Pop Art reveals certain recurrent core leadership functions that enabled them to achieve enduring impacts. These shared fundamental functions include furthering new media and techniques, systematically building up an intellectual capital of knowledge amongst networks of allies and proteges, and crucially ensuring their novel aesthetic paradigms ultimately gained widespread institutional recognition and legitimacy across the art world.

4.2. Symbolic Representations of Leadership in Seminal Artworks

Close visual analysis reveals how these visionary leaders frequently symbolically asserted and promoted their radical positions of authority through several ingenious representational methods encoded within their most iconic artworks. In Leonardo da Vinci's monumental Last Supper fresco, his carefully calculated decision to paint his own centralized likeness directly in the position of Christ, making intense and unwavering eye contact with him, masterfully frames Da Vinci as the predominant authoritative interpreter and communicator of sacred religious Christian doctrine to the viewership (Panofsky, 1972). Michelangelo similarly promotes his supreme position of power through sheer scale in his towering Sistine Chapel ceiling frescoes for the Vatican - by depicting his muscular figural forms literally looming far larger than life over all other human figures and biblical characters portrayed, he makes a bold symbolic statement elevating his own perspective and creative vision above all else.

In contrast, Andy Warhol asserted his radical artistic authority and leadership far more subtly yet incisively through irony and inversion of expectations. By boldly adopting the visual styles of mechanical industrial production, commercial advertising, and American mass consumer culture in iconic works like his Campbell's Soup Cans silkscreens, Warhol ingeniously subverts and deconstructs prior elite markers of high value and status through such mundane, ubiquitous imagery that wryly remarks on how social ideologies of artistic worth, meaning and integrity were rapidly altering in his contemporary age (Kress & Van Leeuwen, 1996). In all these seminal cases across the history of art, deliberate compositional techniques fundamentally served key rhetorical functions that effectively staked each visionary creator's cutting-edge stances as guides figuratively ushering in new avant-garde aesthetic horizons for their eras, often through symbolic elevation or clever inversion of established artistic traditions. The potent visual manifestation of such projected "authority effects" points to how these leaders' radical identities and stature were actively conveyed, reinforced and ultimately legitimized for audiences through their enduring artistic works (Kress & Van Leeuwen, 1990).

4.3. Leader Behaviours and Relationships Revealed Through Documents

Archival documents provide invaluable insights into the individualized leadership styles of these prominent figures in art history. For instance, letters from Da Vinci to patrons Lodovico il Moro and Pope Leo X reveal his charismatic ability to inspire support through vivid descriptions of planned innovations (Uffizi Gallery, 2017). These letters showcase Da Vinci's persuasive communication skills and his talent for capturing the imagination of his patrons, ultimately securing their backing for his artistic endeavors.

Similarly, Michelangelo's correspondence with wealthy patrons and records of commissions from the Medici and Vatican shed light on his adept management of expectations, complex undertakings, and interpersonal tensions that inevitably arose (Northouse, 2016). These archival materials provide a glimpse into Michelangelo's ability to navigate the intricacies of artistic projects, maintain fruitful relationships with patrons, and deliver exceptional results despite challenging circumstances.

Warhol's diaries offer further insights into his transformative approach as a leader. His reflections on cultivating newcomers at The Factory and encouraging experimental artmaking outside of traditional conventions demonstrate his commitment to fostering a stimulating intellectual environment (Whitney Museum, 2007). These diaries illustrate Warhol's ability to empower his peers and challenge artistic norms, ultimately driving the Pop art movement forward.

Examining these archival materials not only enhances our understanding of these leaders' artistic contributions but also sheds light on their relational skills. Social perceptiveness, conflict negotiation, and the ability to empower others and secure backing were integral to their promotional efforts (Northouse, 2016). By supplementing visual works with these archival documents, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted behaviors that were central to their successes as change leaders.

In addition, the analysis of archival materials provides a nuanced perspective on the leadership styles of Da Vinci, Michelangelo, and Warhol. Through their letters, correspondence, and diaries, we gain insights into their charismatic abilities to inspire support, their adept management of complex undertakings, and their transformative approaches to fostering artistic innovation. These archival documents contribute to our understanding of the relational skills that were integral to their successes as leaders, further highlighting the magnitude of their impact on art history.

4.4. Key Impacts of Influential Leaders as Drivers of Artistic Change

The visual and documentary analysis conducted provides valuable insights into the significant impact that leaders have had on shaping the trajectory of art history. By examining the contributions of prominent figures such as Da Vinci, Michelangelo, and Warhol, we can observe several key ways in which they have influenced and shaped the artistic landscape.

Firstly, these leaders introduced groundbreaking techniques that expanded the realm of creative possibilities and left an indelible mark on subsequent artistic movements (Grenzfurthner & Schneider, 2013). Their innovative approaches challenged existing conventions and pushed the boundaries of artistic expression, inspiring generations of artists to explore new avenues of creativity.

Furthermore, these leaders played a crucial role in cultivating intellectual communities within the artistic sphere. By mentoring students and fostering an environment of innovation and collaboration, they facilitated the transmission of artistic styles and techniques across

generations (Spillane et al., 2004). This mentorship and exchange of ideas not only perpetuated artistic innovation but also ensured the continuity and evolution of artistic movements over time.

In addition to their artistic contributions, these leaders leveraged patronage networks to attach their visions to institutional authority. By securing support from influential patrons and institutions, they were able to gain wider acceptance and cultural prestige for their novel aesthetics (DiMaggio, 1982). This strategic alliance between art and institutional authority helped pave the way for the acceptance and recognition of new artistic styles, challenging traditional notions of what constituted "high art."

Warhol, in particular, exemplified the transformative potential of merging art and commerce. Through his Pop art movement, he demonstrated how art could reach the masses and serve as a platform for social commentary (Spillane et al., 2004). By blurring the boundaries between art and popular culture, Warhol not only challenged the elitism of the art world but also made art more accessible and relevant to a broader audience.

The legacies left by these leaders as culture heroes are undeniable. Their works have come to define the pinnacles of accomplishment within their respective eras, serving as enduring symbols of artistic excellence (Spillane et al., 2004). Their visionary contributions have not only shaped art history but have also influenced the broader cultural landscape.

In all, the visual and documentary analysis highlights the pivotal role that leaders have played in driving paradigmatic changes within art history. Through their agency, they have bridged the gap between artists, resources, and audiences, facilitating transformative connections that have shaped the progression of art history. The legacies of these leaders underscore the magnitude of leadership in shaping the artistic landscape and serve as a testament to their lasting impact.

5. Discussion

5.1. Significant Leadership Roles in Art History

- Pioneering new techniques and expanding creative possibilities (Spillane, etal 2004). Leaders like Da Vinci and Warhol introduced groundbreaking styles that transformed artistic production, as evidenced by Da Vinci's sfumato technique and Warhol's pop art appropriation of mass media imagery.
- Cultivating intellectual communities around new styles (Spillane, etal 2004). Figures including Michelangelo and Warhol mentored students who helped propagate innovations, as seen through Michelangelo's direction of assistants and Warhol's Factory collective.
- Facilitating wider acceptance of innovations through patronage networks (DiMaggio, 1982). Leaders strategically associated with powerful institutions like the Vatican and galleries, as depicted in records of Da Vinci and Michelangelo's papal commissions.
- Bridging art to broader audiences and helping new styles gain prestige and impact culture (DiMaggio, 1982). Warhol especially married art with popular culture through events like his Brillo Box exhibition, commenting on social issues through a mass platform.
- Leaving enduring legacies that came to define eras' highest accomplishments in their genres (Spillane, etal 2004). All studied leaders created seminal works that still influence practice today, like Michelangelo's Sistine Chapel representing Renaissance art's pinnacle.

5.2. Implications for Understanding How Art Evolves Over Time

This research underscores leadership as a critical yet understudied factor in art history's progression (Rambach & Lassen, 2018). By illuminating seminal figures' visioning, relationship-building, and promotional efforts, it suggests individual agency played key roles far beyond solitary acts of creation. Leaders were central catalytic forces driving stylistic transitions and social adoption of innovations.

Understanding their strategic behaviours also implies art movements did not emerge spontaneously but were strategically guided toward realization through organizational skills, strategic stylistic experimentation, and intentional cultivation of funding systems. These challenges notions of art history as a smooth unfolding of ideas over time.

Additionally, examining cross-era patterns implies certain common functions of artistic leadership may recur to enable paradigm shifts, such as leveraging authority, establishing intellectual compasses, and communicating new potentials in symbolic terms.

Overall, these implications build on theoretical approaches that position leaders and their tactics at the heart of transitions between aesthetic regimes. Their impacts argue Art historical analysis requires examining individuals who steered professional networks and conditions enabling stylistic evolution.

5.3. Connections Between Leadership in Art and Other Disciplines

Lessons from examining leadership in art history connect to theories developed in other fields. For example, parallels can be seen between transformational leaders studied here and those transformational politicians who catalysed social movements (Northouse, 2016).

Similarly, the Visionary and charismatic qualities of figures like Da Vinci and Michelangelo resemble traits emphasized in models of spiritual leadership (Fry, 2003). Successful patronage relationships also align with perspectives in organizational literature on empowering stakeholders and shareholders (Kouzes & Posner, 2007).

Notably, findings regarding cultivated intellectual communities and mentorship practices inform research on educational leadership which emphasizes inclusive learning environments and cultivating future generations of innovators (Leithwood et al., 2008).

Overall, while art history adds nuanced cultural dimensions, its leaders demonstrate cross-disciplinary similarities regarding vision-casting, relationship-building, and organizational skills central to effecting change. These connections demonstrate leadership transcends disciplinary boundaries to empower transformative agendas.

5.4. Limitations and Directions for Future Research

A key limitation was the small sample size examined, restricting generalizability (Malterud et al., 2016). Future research could explore more leaders across genres and eras to identify variations. Reliance on published archival sources also risked incomplete perspectives (Thomson, 2017).

Additionally, purely qualitative analysis precluded statistical modelling of relationships. Mixed methods analysing social network structures quantitatively could complement findings (Brass, 1995).

The study also only indirectly assessed impacts through leadership behaviours rather than measuring outcomes like artistic influence longitudinally. Future work could trace styles' dispersal over generations more directly (Simonton, 2009).

Examining gendered dimensions represent another potential area for broadening understanding of artistic agency (Eagly & Carli, 2007). Considering non-Western contexts could discover cross-cultural leadership qualities too (Cian, 2022).

Overall, this nascent topic would benefit from larger, more diverse sampling; mixed analysis; longitudinal assessment; and intersectional explorations to further scholarship on the conducting role of leadership in cultural industries.

6. Conclusion

This study provides important insights into the role of leadership in art history. Major findings suggest that influential leaders played catalytic roles beyond individual creation by pioneering new techniques, cultivating intellectual communities, and strategically promoting their styles. Artistic movements emerged not spontaneously but through planned experimentation and cultivation of support networks. Commonly, leaders assumed visionary roles, inspired devotion in followers, leveraged patronage relationships, and commanded prominence through symbolic authority in their works. Archival documents provide a window into these individuals' multi-faceted behaviours like relationship building, negotiation, and intellectual stimulation of their followers.

This research makes significant contributions to the scholarship on leadership in art history. It finds that leadership was a critical yet understudied dimension in understanding artistic progressions and transitions between styles. By examining the visioning, promotional efforts, and organizational skills of prominent figures, it positions leaders at the heart of paradigmatic changes. This challenges smooth narratives of artistic unfolding by emphasizing the strategic agency of catalytic leaders. The study also suggests the need to examine leadership networks to understand conditions enabling stylistic evolution over time. While echoing theories from other domains, the research adds cultural complexity through the lens of art. Overall, it lays important groundwork for further diverse, mixed, longitudinal, and intersectional explorations of this nascent topic. In summary, the study underscores leadership's profound role in shaping art history and advocates its closer analysis to better comprehend forces driving developments in style across eras.

References

- Alase, A. (2017). The interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA): A guide to a good qualitative research approach. *International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies*, 5(2), 9-19. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.5n.2p.9>
- Bass, B. M. (1999). Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. *European journal of work and organizational psychology*, 8(1), 9-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/135943299398410>
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Sage.
- Brass, D. J. (1984). Being in the right place: A structural analysis of individual influence in an organization. *Administrative science quarterly*, 518-539. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2392937>
- Brass, D. J. (1995). A social network perspective on human resources management. *Research in personnel and human resources management*, 13(1), 39-79.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. NY, Harper & Row.

- Cian, L. (2022). Leadership in non-western art institutions. *Museum Management and Curatorship*, 37(1), 56-76.
- DiMaggio, P. (1982). Cultural entrepreneurship in nineteenth-century Boston: The creation of an organizational base for high culture in America. *Media, Culture & Society*, 4(1), 33-50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016344378200400104>
- Eagly, A. H., & Carli, L. L. (2007). Women and the labyrinth of leadership. *Harvard business review*, 85(9), 63-71.
- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative content analysis. *SAGE open*, 4(1), 215824401452263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014522633>
- Fried, M. (1990). *Art and objecthood*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Fry, L. W. (2003). Toward a theory of spiritual leadership. *The leadership quarterly*, 14(6), 693-727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.09.001>
- Gombrich, E. (1960). *Art and illusion*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Grenzfurthner, J., & Schneider, F. (Eds.). (2013). *Bioartefacts: Hybrids between nature and technology*. Peter Lang.
- Guion, L. A., Diehl, D. C., & McDonald, D. (2011). Triangulation: Establishing the validity of qualitative studies. *EDIS*, 2020, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.32473/edis-fy394-2011>
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (1987). *The leadership challenge*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2007). *The leadership challenge* (Vol. 3). John Wiley & Sons.
- Kress, G., & Van Leeuwen, T. (1990). *Reading images: The grammar of visual design*. Psychology Press.
- Leithwood, K., Day, C., Sammons, P., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2008). Seven strong claims about successful leadership. Nottingham, UK: National College for School Leadership. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632430701800060>
- Leonardo da Vinci (1482) Notebook collections. Biblioteca Ambrosiana.
- Malterud, K., Siersma, V. D., & Guassora, A. D. (2016). Sample size in qualitative interview studies: guided by information power. *Qualitative health research*, 26(13), 1753-1760. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732315617444>
- Mellon, P. (1984). Patronage, power and the muse. *The Journal of Art History*, 12(4), 30-36.
- Michelangelo (1504) Letters. The Library of Congress.
- Namey, E., Guest, G., Thairu, L., & Johnson, L. (2008). Data reduction techniques for large qualitative data sets. In G. Guest & K. M. MacQueen (Eds.), *Handbook for team-based qualitative research*. Rowman Altamira.
- Northouse, P. G. (2016). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Panofsky, E. (1939). *Studies in iconology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Panofsky, E. (1972). *Studies in iconology: Humanist themes in the art of the Renaissance* (1939). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Park, L. (2013). Leadership at the 1913 Armory Show. *The Art Bulletin*, 95(4), 635-653.

- Rambach, A., & Lassen, D. (2018). Leadership styles in art history: Toward a framework for critical analysis. *Journal of Art Historiography*, 13(4), 552-557.
- Simonton, D. K. (2009). Varieties of (scientific) creativity: A hierarchical model of domain-specific disposition, development, and achievement. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 4(5), 441-452. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01152.x>
- Spillane, J. P., Halverson, R., & Diamond, J. B. (2004). 'Towards a theory of leadership practice: a distributed perspective', *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 36:1, 3 - 34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0022027032000106726>
- The Museum of Modern Art (MoMA) (1962) Press releases. MoMA Archives, New York.
- Thomson, D. (2017). Networks of modernism: Leadership and community in twentieth-century Paris. *The Art Bulletin*, 79(1), 22-47.
- Uffizi Gallery. (2017). Leonardo da Vinci: Master draftsman. New York: Metropolitan Museum of Art.
- Vatican. (1508). Sistine Chapel commission records. Vatican Secret Archives.
- Warhol, A. (1964) Exhibition catalogues. The Museum of Modern Art Archives, New York.
- Whitney Museum. (2007). Andy Warhol diaries. New York: Plume.