

An Investigation of the Enigmas and Accessibility of Academic Literature, Writing, and Peer Review

Zanyar Nathir Ghafar

Pharmacy Department, Bright Technical and Vocational Institute, Sulaimaniyah, Kurdistan Region, Iraq
zanyar.ghafar@btvi.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

Novice researchers and postgraduate students have traditionally viewed the systematic method of writing as opaque, difficult, untidy, and tedious. This research investigated the enigmas and accessibility associated with academic literature, writing, and peer review. Secondary sources were used to collect data and conduct this investigation. The review paper aims to encompass various types of literature and writing, and although there is no universally accepted approach, different methodologies are necessary for different types of reviews and writings. The challenges associated with comprehending, evaluating, and composing literature mostly arise from the initial inability to accurately ascertain the reviewer's specific objectives and choose a systematic and thorough approach to pursue them. The process of reviewing and producing academic literature is a challenging endeavor that requires careful attention, well-defined goals, timely access to appropriate resources, and sufficient availability of relevant information. The study findings have significant implications for scholars and postgraduate students who are required to engage in literature evaluation and writing at different levels, particularly during crucial, specified, and constrained time periods.

Keywords: literature review, scholarly writing, evaluation, information, research

Cite this article as: Ghafar, Z. N. (2024). An Investigation of the Enigmas and Accessibility of Academic Literature, Writing, and Peer Review. *International Journal of Higher Education Pedagogies*, 5(2), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.33422/ijhep.v5i2.612>

1. Introduction

The creative process and discipline associated with reading, writing, and reviewing books attribute to the preservation and advancement of knowledge within humanity. Even though individuals, particularly academics, read and write in various ways throughout the globe, everyone has made a vital contribution to the expansion of expertise in all areas of life and the evolution of humanity. Education and research have particularly benefited from the scientific and artistic study of writing, as it records completed and/or successful efforts in writing and other inscriptions. Writing and reviewing enable knowledge to grow, thrive, and advance every day. Writing on several fronts, including education, culture, and history, has partially attributed to the achievement of human evolution. The academic field is undergoing systematic changes, development, and advancement as a major and indispensable component. This includes writing, publishing, and conducting research in academic, professional, and scientific ways. Additionally, the review and writing of academic literature is being done to lay a strong foundation for future studies, research, and policymaking.

The primary objective of all research endeavors is to ascertain the truth (Robbins et al., 2012, p. 576). Consequently, the practice of conducting literature reviews has been a longstanding tradition in the realm of research. The origins of its existence have been identified and connected to the 17th century (Egger et al., 1995, as cited in Dixon et al., 2006). The

literature review and writing are essential components of academic research and continue to acquire significance as a study subject and field (Jesson et al., 2016). This is especially relevant due to the establishment and continuous enhancement of standards. Consequently, reviewers and authors are required to provide accurate procedures for locating resources for assessment, evaluation, and potential replication of approaches in future applications (Dixon et al., 2006). Engaging in academic writing and publishing can be a demanding endeavor that requires significant time and effort. Consequently, many academics and researchers may express reluctance towards engaging in the review and writing process due to various factors. These factors include experiencing a sense of inertia when initiating the writing task, encountering obstacles or feeling stuck during the writing process, as well as feelings of inferiority, nervousness, and shyness when comparing oneself to other writers. Nonetheless, it is important to acknowledge the significant value and significance of writing in the academic realm (Fawcett et al., 2014; Murray & More, 2006, pp. 28–29). Similarly, conducting a thorough and proficient literature review and composing scholarly writing is a substantial undertaking that necessitates multiple processes. These processes involve sourcing materials from diverse outlets, meticulously selecting pertinent sources, and acquiring the specifically needed information or data.

Additionally, engaging in critical analysis of the literature, paraphrasing and summarizing relevant sections, and appropriately citing sources are integral components of this systematic endeavor (Pautasso, 2013). The study aims to identify and analyze the objectives that guide the research being conducted. The primary aim of this review is to examine the significance and many settings of literature, literary writing, and review in a straightforward manner. This paper aims to elucidate the process of evaluating and writing literature, with a focus on clarifying the perceived difficulties and dispelling any apprehensions associated with this undertaking. The following sections will outline the procedures involved in the review and writing of literature in a clear and concise manner. Additionally, this inquiry delves into the attributes of literature that make for effective reviews and writing. It also explores the essential technical aspects involved in evaluating and producing quality literature. The aim is to alleviate any apprehensions around academic literature reviewing and writing while facilitating the whole process. On the other hand, a conceptualization of open planning as a practice that is both theoretically consistent and thorough. To arrive at this conceptualization, we conducted a controlled examination of previously published works and re-analyzed well-recorded examples of open strategy (Tavakoli et al., 2017).

2. The Purpose of this Study

This paper aims to identify and analyze the research problem to be addressed in the project. Literature reviews and writing are essential components of every research attempt. Nevertheless, the execution of these tasks has proven to be perplexing, monotonous, disorderly, and demanding in terms of time, energy, and resources. This is particularly true for inexperienced researchers and postgraduate students who have limited time. Consequently, the undertaking of conducting an academic literature review and writing is a challenging effort (Galvan et al., 2017). This is partially attributed to the inherent complexity and divergent assumptions involved in the research process (Plummer, 2011, pp. 195–204). Numerous researchers, reviewers, and authors of literature have worked in academic settings in different places.

According to Robinson et al. (2011), there is a tendency for individuals to engage in the practice of rewriting tales, whereby they deviate from the intended emphasis of the narratives and instead either fabricate the actions of others or produce subpar content based on existing

works. There is a lack of comprehensive knowledge regarding the practical planning and execution of a literature review and writing, particularly in relation to different contexts and disciplines (Pan, 2016). This disparity is evident in the disparity between the act of reviewing and writing literature and the practical considerations and contextual requirements associated with these activities, particularly within the academic community. Nevertheless, when faced with complex challenges, there are simple and effective solutions that can be thoroughly examined.

3. The Research Methodology Should be Clearly Defined and Explained

This methodology encompasses a comprehensive approach that includes data collection, analysis, and interpretation of findings. The study utilizes a qualitative methodology and gathers data from secondary sources, including research textbooks and journals. These sources were supplemented by tacit notes, academic and professional experience, and a history of literary authoring and review. Scholarly publications in the field of academic research provided the categorizations, procedures, objectives, criteria for assessing quality, and associations of academic literature evaluation and writing.

4. The Process of Developing the Concept

According to Hart (1998), a literature review can be defined as the process of selecting accessible documents and materials related to a specific research topic. These materials consist of data, ideas, information, and written evidence obtained from reliable sources. The purpose of conducting a literature review is to investigate a problem or research question and ultimately find a definitive course of action or solution. According to Machi and McEvoy (2016), the literature review may be seen as a kind of written argument. The literature review presents a thesis statement and constructs a logical case by drawing on evidence collected through a comprehensive study of previously completed research. Moreover, a literature review serves the purpose of identifying and portraying the context and foundational understanding of a study issue while also presenting the current level of knowledge with a logical justification. Consequently, the authors have provided a definition for it as a "written manuscript that articulates a logically constructed argument based on a thorough comprehension of the existing body of knowledge pertaining to a particular subject of investigation." This particular scenario presents a compelling argument to address the research issue that the study emphasized.

The literature review is a critical component that consolidates existing and up-to-date information pertaining to a certain subject or research inquiry. In line with Creswell's (2012) assertion, a literature review may be defined as a comprehensive written synthesis of scholarly papers, books, and many other sources that elucidate the historical and present state of knowledge pertaining to the subject matter of one's research investigation. In this regard, literature encompasses the existing knowledge and written material pertaining to a certain subject or study inquiry (Murray & Moore, 2006, p. 52). A literature review is an essential component of research since it allows researchers to demonstrate their familiarity with a particular topic or question under investigation as well as their current level of knowledge on the subject matter (Hart, 1998). A literature review involves an extensive examination of the existing body of knowledge, including the work, ideas, and findings of other researchers pertaining to particular concerns or research challenges. A comprehensive analysis of existing scholarly works establishes the scope and methodology for writing, as well as guiding future investigations or inquiries into a certain research subject or problem. A well-executed literature review offers several benefits, including increased work efficiency, time and energy

savings in data collection and analysis, improved quality of review and final results, clarification of research questions and objectives, demonstration of research qualities, and facilitation of field work for both the researcher and assistants.

The objectives of a literature review serve several objectives. However, in addition to their overall aims, literature reviews often have particular concerns that they aim to solve. The research questions that need to be addressed and the objectives established for the study define these issues. According to Foss (2017), the purpose of a literature review is to acquaint the readers of an article with significant results derived from a range of research. The purpose of the literature review is to furnish the reader with the necessary contextual knowledge required to comprehend your research findings and their significance. By engaging with existing scholarly discourse on the topic, the literature review enables you to actively participate in the academic conversation within your field, building upon the ideas and arguments put forth by other researchers.

Generally, the purposes of a literature review are to: position each and every piece of material within the right category; assess the level and phase of development on a field or particular study, research question, or topic in relation to the study; trace the connection of the intended research work within the context of previous works by other authors; develop unique methods to explain the previously conducted studies; avoid duplication of a study and waste of energy, time, and resources; explore new methods for the study; and resolve contradictions of previous studies. Specifically, an argumentative review of literature focuses on specifically chosen works so as to back up or dispute a result, provide a divergent position on the literature or result, and provide an avenue for both an intellectual and philosophical forum. There are, however, tendencies toward subjectivity in the end and while making and presenting summaries of the reviews and findings. Clearly, a review of literature is purposely made to, among others:

1. Ensure that the study subject or topic is readily accessible, while diligently conducting a thorough search and selection process to identify relevant and high-quality resources. Facilitate the widespread adoption of the research by conducting thorough reviews, making comprehensive comparisons, and providing concise summaries of the relevant information.
2. It is essential to refrain from replicating prior research conducted on the same subject matter, research inquiry, phenomena, or issue.
3. This study offers the reviewer more avenues for future research and aids in identifying gaps within the current body of knowledge.
4. Provides the reviewer with other areas for further studies and help identify gaps in the existing literatures.
5. This task involves the identification and evaluation of limits, defects, and inconsistencies present in previously reviewed and assessed academic publications. Therefore, the content under evaluation guides and encourages the reviewer to refrain from making comparable mistakes.
6. The reviewer will outline and elucidate the procedures, approaches, and analyses to be used. The reviewer will perform these tasks concisely and unambiguously.
7. The literature review serves the purpose of situating a research study within a relevant field and context. The literature review presents a broad overview, evaluates and summarizes the research question or problem, and discusses the sources that have been explored, accomplishing the purpose of situating a research study within a relevant field and context. Additionally, the literature review provides a rationale for the proposed study, highlighting its significance and the need for further investigation (Creswell, 2012, p. 80).

5. The Role of Reviewers is to Provide Feedback and Evaluation on a Given Subject

The process of reviewing and writing literature is a labor-intensive undertaking that requires significant time, effort, and resources. In addition, a set of ethical guidelines governs the process. The reviewer or writer, as a result, must possess composure and proficiency in effective language and writing, as well as demonstrate expertise in inventive thinking, keen reasoning, thoughtful contemplation, and logical analysis. Furthermore, it is imperative to consistently consider certain factors. Every reviewer or writer, when embarking on a review work, should be cognizant of fundamental inquiries such as the pertinence of the searched and selected literature to the research topic or question. Additionally, it is crucial to assess whether the chosen literature adequately reflects the specific problem under study in relation to the prevailing circumstances and context.

Every literature review must familiarize readers with the research methodology used in the process to provide a comprehensive understanding of the subject or issue being examined. This is associated with offering a rationale for using an integrated review as the best appropriate approach to investigate the subject or research challenge. The determination of this is contingent upon the contextual positioning and utility of the study subject (Torraco, 2005). The reviewer must determine the intended audience and subject matter of the author. In the case of journals and other published materials, it is important to consider the quality of the publication, including factors such as the editors, peer review process, and submission guidelines, in order to ensure the reliability and quality of the material. Furthermore, one should consider the citations and referencing styles employed in the material. It is important to discover the writers of the chosen materials for evaluation in order to have a deeper understanding of their integrity and intellectual aptitude. In order for a literature review to be effective, it is essential that the reviewer have the ability to proficiently summarize the primary findings of each source under evaluation. This necessitates not only the inclusion of high-quality summaries but also the provision of clear and concise summaries. The primary factors in the research and review must be explicitly recognized and expressed while ensuring the correct contextualization of the literature within its relevant circumstances, both general and particular. The text discusses the presence of settings within a certain context. Furthermore, it is vital to explicitly delineate the pertinent ideas used in the investigation. Only articles that specifically address the responsibilities, duties, and abilities of peer reviewers in the journal editing process were considered. Articles unrelated to the evaluation of manuscripts in academic journals, such as funding peer review, academic review, and peer review of teaching, were excluded. There were no limitations on the dates or languages (Glonti et al., 2019).

6. Improving the Process of Mapping and Writing is Achievable

A literature review is a crucial component of academic research. According to Creswell (2012, P. 95), doing a literature review is a multifaceted undertaking that requires specialized abilities acquired through systematic learning, experience, and practice. In order to effectively accomplish the goals of a literature review, it is crucial for the reviewer to create a literature map. A map, according to the author, refers to a visual representation that presents the existing body of research literature on a particular topic. Its purpose is to assist the reviewer in identifying redundancies and identifying areas where the literature can contribute to the study. Furthermore, the map serves to provide readers, whether they are audience members or examiners, with a comprehensive overview of the literature in relation to the study. The process of literature mapping involves gathering materials, information, or notes from various sources that are related to and pertinent to a research topic. The objective is to

filter and acquire appropriate resources through a thorough review, evaluation, and extraction process. The purpose of this process is to filter and acquire appropriate resources for the intended study (Hart, 1998). Upon completing the prescribed procedures and sequential stages of doing a literature review, the reviewer proceeds to compose their own comprehensive analysis and evaluation of the diverse range of examined literary sources. The reviewer establishes and presents the methodology, analysis, findings, and responses pertaining to relevant inquiries or resolutions, as well as how conclusions are derived. The reviewer arranges the literature sources and categorizes the major findings of the reviewed materials based on their approaches, methodology, analysis, and other relevant factors. They then analyze the categorized literature in relation to their respective methodologies and analyses. Additionally, the reviewer identifies any shortcomings and discrepancies present in the reviewed literature. The brainstorming strategy is crucial for problem-solving since it involves analyzing ideas and determining the most effective solution to an issue. Utilizing mind maps is a very efficient method that is crucial to addressing any difficulty. Mind maps have served as an instructional guideline for a significant period of time. Clearly, mental schematics are used to develop, visualize, organize, and categorize thoughts. Furthermore, they have been used for the purposes of examining and structuring data, resolving issues, reaching conclusions, and composing written content (Abdkarim et al., 2016).

7. The Evaluation of Literature Reviews and Writing Quality in Academic Research

The process of looking for and selecting literature for review is a significant challenge. However, it is crucial to critically examine the literature and carefully pick it based on its quality, correctness, relevance to the research subject, and accessibility (Creswell, 2012, pp. 79–92). It is essential that every literature review and piece of writing exhibit a high degree of precision and clarity. The text should be characterized by a lack of ambiguities, confusion, and redundancy in terms of word use, sentence structure, and paragraph organization. The evaluated melody should also demonstrate politeness, constructiveness, logical sequencing, and maturity. The analysis should refrain from being too critical or derogatory towards other reviewed or studied literature. According to Torraco (2005), it is important for the content of a review to be written in an objective manner, devoid of any personal biases or interests of the reviewer. A well-executed literature review should encompass a comprehensive overview of the subject matter, problem, or research question, progressing from a broad to a specific analysis. Clear objectives should accompany the review. The review should be organized into distinct sections, categorizing the supporting arguments, opposing viewpoints, limitations, strengths, and weaknesses. A logical conclusion should be drawn, summarizing the key findings and highlighting any noteworthy contributions. The conclusion should be concise, direct, and precise in its presentation of the results. In a comprehensive manner, a literature review entails an examination of existing theories and their relevance to the current study. It involves assessing the extent to which a particular topic has been previously explored, identifying gaps in the existing literature, evaluating the methodologies used in the reviewed studies, and summarizing the main findings.

8. Classifications of Literature Reviews

They are commonly recognized in academic research. These classifications include narrative reviews, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, scoping reviews, and integrative reviews. Each kind of literature has several classifications of literature reviews, which are determined by the viewpoint, setting, and design of the study, similar to the diverse methodologies used in social science research (Murray & Moore, 2006, P.1). Researchers have classified the

literature review into two categories: simple and complex. A simple literature review involves a basic examination and summary of existing literature on a particular topic.

- Uncomplicated reviews summarize relevant research on a subject and present logical arguments based on established findings (Machi & McEvoy, 2016). This kind of review employs documentation, analysis, and conclusion-drawing to take a stand on a given subject; it is a reflective oversight review that modifies, evaluates, and corrects previously published material or provides an explanation of the reviewer's own knowledge and experience.
- A complicated literature study expands upon the basic review by identifying and highlighting gaps or unresolved topics that require further investigation. Therefore, the purpose of a complicated review is to identify a research gap that warrants additional investigation. Grant and Booth (2009) have identified 14 distinct types of literature reviews, including critical reviews, literature reviews, mapping or systematic maps, meta-analyses, mixed studies or methodological reviews, overviews, qualitative systematic reviews, rapid reviews, scoping reviews, state-of-the-art reviews, systematic reviews, umbrella reviews, systematized reviews, and systematic search and reviews. It was observed that each of the aforementioned elements has distinct circumstances in which they are applicable.
- The integrative review is a commonly used process in academic research that involves the comprehensive analysis and synthesis of existing material to develop new conceptual frameworks and perspectives. This kind of review encompasses interconnected subjects and frameworks, often aiming to explore both established and developing research issues and problems (Neuman, 2006, P. 112; Torraco, 2005). In a similar vein, the most effective integrative reviews are those that thoroughly analyze materials, placing emphasis on their aims, followed by a rigorous appraisal and study of the existing literature. Synthesis plays a crucial role in the literature review by facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the research question and integrating current and emerging ideas. This process enables the development of novel models, conceptual frameworks, and a deeper comprehension of the topic (Torraco, 2005). When composing reports on professional practices and standards, it is noteworthy to consider the categorization proposed by Galvan et al. (2017). They have identified three main types of articles that can be utilized: reviews of empirical research, theoretical articles, and literature review articles. Additionally, articles based on personal experiences and notifications on professional practices and standards can also be included in the analysis.
- The historical review places emphasis on evaluating a substantial span of time, with a broad scope pertaining to historical events and progress as well as the rising body of literature. Furthermore, it offers an account of the author's familiarity with the subject matter or research inquiry and serves as a roadmap for future investigations pertaining to the subject.
- The focus of a methodological review pertains to the manner in which a researcher arrives at the outcomes of a study. The primary emphasis is on the methodologies used for analysis and the process of reaching a certain result. Including a methodological review facilitates the establishment of a correct conception and comprehension of the review and its outcomes, while also ensuring the preservation of ethics.
- The process of conducting a theoretical literature review entails evaluating the existing theories, methods, and materials pertaining to a particular research problem or topic. This involves identifying and examining the relevant theories that are applicable to the specific study, as well as assessing and gauging the current state of

knowledge on the topic or research problem. Additionally, it involves considering the frameworks that can be utilized for the study and analysis of the subject matter. In order to demonstrate the interconnectedness of literature review and writing. (Neuman, 2006). Additionally, identified three distinct types of reviews: self-review, historical review, and theoretical review. The reviewer openly shares their knowledge on the subject being reviewed in the self-review. The historical review focuses on delineating the subject and tracing its evolution over time. Lastly, the theoretical review entails the reviewer presenting various theories and concepts related to the subject and evaluating their accuracy, scope, analysis, and reliability.

9. The Key Components of a Literature Review

In order to ensure the comprehensiveness and effectiveness of a literature review or piece of writing, it is necessary to take into account the fundamental purposes of the literature and the intended audience (Galvan et al., 2017). These factors not only affect the selection of items to be examined but also affect the methodology, rigor, and approach used in conducting the review. Literature reviews include both primary sources, which consist of unique ideas and research results from credible published publications, as well as secondary materials such as encyclopedias and handbooks (Creswell, 2012, p. 83). The literature review has many components that, together, contribute to its comprehensive and cohesive nature. In this context, the researcher determines a subject, considering their interests, experience, and other relevant aspects, which refers to a specific issue, problem, or area. Empirical data, personal interest, disciplinary considerations, logical reasoning, and experiential knowledge influence the selection of study or review topics. A statement of the thesis is a categorical phrase or sentences that draw a conclusion based on the utilization of existing and up-to-date information, as well as logical reasoning and arguments. According to Torraco (2005), in academic and scholarly literature reviews, research questions and themes undergo expansion and development, accompanied by a corresponding growth in knowledge and contribution. Concluding statements serve as the last section of a literature review, offering readers a concise overview of the key topics addressed in the evaluated material as well as highlighting the significance of the study problem or subject (Creswell, 2012, P.103).

10. Examples of Various Types of Literature Reviews

Researchers can use several models to conduct a literature review. These models serve as frameworks for organizing and analyzing existing research in a systematic and comprehensive manner. By using these models, researchers can effectively identify gaps where there is a lack of consensus over a globally accepted framework for conducting literature reviews. In the realm of academic research, it is important to acknowledge that reviews may vary depending on the nature of the study being undertaken, specifically whether it is quantitative or qualitative in nature (Creswell, 2012, p. 80). These models of literature review are contingent upon the specific sort of review or study being done.

- The thematic model is an approach where a reviewer takes notes on the major concepts, documents the subject matter, quotes the chosen literature being evaluated, and analyzes the main points and results of the reviewed materials without going into detail. In the particular topic approach, a reviewer simply notes the major concepts, documents the subject matter, quotes the chosen literature being evaluated, and analyzes the main points and results of the reviewed materials without delving into detail. Reviewers most often use this model to evaluate articles and meet the

prerequisites for post-graduate education. The reviewer cites sources to back up their claims rather than offering specifics about the review itself.

- The study-by-study model categorizes and provides information on the principal components of every piece of content that needs evaluation, as well as the links between those components. The reviewer provides main headings and categories, along with comments for each to clarify their meaning.

11. The Process of Conducting a Literature Review Involves

This section often involves many sequential steps. A well-executed literature review follows a coherent and systematic structure. Machi and McEvoy (2016) have identified six steps for conducting an effective literature review. These steps include: selecting a specific topic for the study; developing a coherent argument for the review; conducting a comprehensive search for relevant literature; surveying the gathered literature to extract necessary information; critically evaluating the reviewed literature; and finally, composing the literature review based on the consulted sources. In line with Creswell, 2012, P. 80), although there may be variations based on the specific nature of the research to be undertaken, there are five overarching processes that are commonly used by reviewers in most literature reviews:

1. It is advisable for the reviewer to identify the key phrases required to conduct a thorough search of the pertinent literature.
2. Examine the many bodies of literature pertaining to the subject matter by doing comprehensive research across several sources, including libraries, online databases, and other scholarly resources.
3. A rigorous assessment of the materials chosen for the review is necessary in order to identify the relevant, high-quality, and current ones.
4. The process involves organizing the assessed and chosen scholarly works by creating a visual representation and taking notes on the literature.
5. The summary of the literature report comprehensively describes the resources included in the study.

Similarly, Torraco (2005) has identified a set of overarching procedures in the field of social sciences literature. These processes include a comprehensive search for relevant recorded resources that are directly connected to the subject of investigation. The process involves restricting the selection of materials to those that are written in English, the language of the study. The materials' titles and abstracts are then organized according to established standards and their relevance to the study. We further sort the full articles based on their relevance. We assess the quality of the sorted and selected materials. Suitable materials are extracted from the sorted and assessed ones based on the analysis of relevant data. Finally, the suitable and analyzed materials are summarized for the study. The identification of the library as a crucial source of resources for literature reviews has been highlighted in the process of obtaining relevant materials (Creswell, 2012, p. 83).

12. A Compendium of Resources for Doing a Literature Review

In summary, a literature review is described as a systematic process that involves gathering and synthesizing past research. This description suggests that a literature review is not just a compilation of previous research, contrary to popular belief. Instead, it is a comprehensive amalgamation, including the examination and assessment of existing literature as well as the elucidation of contributions made by other academics in a certain study domain or issue. (Snyder, 2019, p. 333). (Meesala, 2014, p. 4) When analyzing a corpus of literature, writers of a literature review identify relationships, inconsistencies, gaps, and contradictions, and

suggest the necessary action or technique to obtain answers to the research topic (Meesala, 2014, p. 4).

There are a plethora of resources providing guidance on doing a literature review. However, the following principles typically apply to most situations of review:

1. Please identify the specific study domain and the underlying issue or inquiry.
2. Explicitly articulating the significance of the study issue or question at hand is crucial.
3. This study aims to locate and critically analyze the existing literature pertaining to the chosen research subject or area.
4. Organize and categorize the chosen and relevant material into a coherent and structured format to facilitate convenient accessibility and reference.
5. Please choose and reference only the texts that are required and relevant.
6. When there are instances of duplicating earlier findings, it is essential to explicitly acknowledge and provide suitable citations for such replications in order to facilitate a succinct review.

It is important to highlight that scholarly publications often publish review papers that focus on certain study areas and frequently provide up-to-date information on these subjects that is relevant (Galvan et al., 2017).

13. The Essential Skills for Academic Success Include Critical Thinking, Reading Comprehension, Writing Proficiency, and Analytical Abilities

Academic traditions are often founded on the rigorous examination and critical analysis of scholarly texts. The degree of criticism is influenced by the geographical locations and educational backgrounds of scholars, as well as their objective of evaluating the author's ability to adequately support their assertions or results (Wallace & Wray, 2016, p. 20). Critical reading and analysis involve examining the evidence presented in a given work, evaluating the logical progression of the author's arguments leading to a conclusion, establishing connections between the author's assertions and those of other authors, considering the relationship between the author's assertions and the perspectives of readers and the audience, and identifying both explicit and implicit indications of the author's assumptions and ethical considerations. Critical thinking, reading, writing, and analysis are essential components of a literature review. It is essential for each reviewer to have a critical approach while engaging in the process of reading, analyzing, and writing reviews. The specific genre of literature being examined determines the extent of this variation. However, it is essential for a reviewer to engage in a comprehensive examination of the material that extends beyond mere reading, contemplation, writing, and analysis. The following characteristics are essential for facilitating thorough examination, comprehension, and impartial evaluation of the material under review: According to Machi and McEvoy (2016), the concept of critical thinking in the context of a literature review entails possessing a sense of curiosity and a desire to acquire knowledge, engaging in skepticism by posing inquiries, exhibiting independent thinking rather than relying solely on the ideas of others, and maintaining intellectual honesty in order to evaluate and assess factual information. The proponents further asserted that in the context of critical reviewing and thinking, it is necessary to adhere to specific behaviors. These behaviors encompass the qualities of persistence and commitment towards the review tasks, exhibiting patience throughout the thinking and review process, concentrating on the designated issue until the desired objective is attained, fostering collegiality to facilitate the dissemination and exchange of ideas, while also actively acknowledging feedback and evaluating the work for potential enhancements and reinforcement. Critical writing refers to the writer's capacity to persuade readers or

audiences to comprehend and concur with their arguments by using compelling evidence (Wallace & Wray, 2016, p. 20).

In order to conduct a thorough analysis of literature, as described by Torraco (2005), it is necessary to carefully examine the primary concepts and components, as well as critique the existing literature to assess how well it portrays the subject matter, question, or research topic at hand. Additionally, this process entails the division of the study subject into essential points about its original context, fundamental ideas, interconnections among these concepts, and the used research methodologies. The purpose of this is to guarantee that the reviewer modifies the subject in order to achieve clarity, comprehension, and effective assessment. This practice also serves to identify the strengths and weaknesses, consistencies and inconsistencies, omissions, shortcomings, and gaps in the existing body of literature pertaining to the issue (Torraco, 2005). A comprehensive literature review also evaluates the author's ability to effectively present and justify their viewpoints. It assesses the appropriateness of the methods employed in the study, including the tools and techniques used for data collection, analysis, and reporting. Furthermore, it scrutinizes the main findings of the reviewed literature to determine their academic significance and potential contribution to the field.

14. The Topic of Concern in this Discussion is the Ethical Considerations Associated with Literature Reviews and Writing

The literature review, similar to other scholarly endeavors, adheres to ethical principles that serve to govern its conduct and ensure the attainment of certain goals within a structured framework. In their study, Machi and McEvoy (2016) assert that it is imperative to maintain the integrity of all data by not extracting it from its primary context or manipulating it for alternative purposes. Reviewers should strive for independence, conducting their own evaluations without relying on the assessments or conclusions of others. It is crucial for reviewers to present factual information without any misleading or disguised elements. Furthermore, reviewers should thoroughly address all aspects of the problem under review while maintaining a focused approach. Reviewers should strictly avoid plagiarism of other works and must provide proper citations and acknowledgements for any additional works incorporated or utilized in the review. Lastly, the reviewer themselves should conduct the review work and avoid delegating it to a second or third party. However, research assistants should only be involved in non-essential tasks, such as administrative support, rather than engaging in critical thinking, literature review, writing, or data analysis.

According to (Pautasso, 2013) has provided a comprehensive list of ten rules for conducting a literature review. These rules include effectively defining the topic for the intended audience, engaging in continuous searching for relevant materials, taking notes and preparing while reading the selected literature, selecting the appropriate type of review, ensuring that the review maintains a focus on the topic while considering a broader perspective, maintaining consistency and critical analysis throughout the review process, establishing a logical structure to organise the review, incorporating feedback to enhance accuracy and precision, including the reviewer's own objective contributions, and utilising current literature in order to guarantee relevance and validity. In the context of review writing, particularly at the postgraduate level and for publishing purposes, it is essential to diligently prevent grammatical and typographical mistakes, as well as other communication obstructions. Such errors and impediments not only diminish the credibility of the author but also reflect negatively on the quality of the piece of writing (Shortliffe, 2016). The literature review should include not just the conventional interpretation of texts but also consider the context in

which they were produced, as well as the timing and location of their creation. Additionally, it should analyze the methodologies used in the examination of the study.

The primary concern in this study pertains to issues related to writing and problem identification. The study focuses on specific theoretical frameworks that have been employed to analyze the problem at hand. The literature review section of the study draws upon various sources to support the theoretical underpinnings. Additionally, the study acknowledges the contributions of these sources. Furthermore, the study includes the presentation of supplementary data in the form of figures and charts to enhance the understanding of the topic. According to Murray and Moore (2006), it is crucial for the reviewer to maintain thorough documentation of all actions and materials directly associated with a research project. An essential first step in conducting a research study is to peruse the literature pertaining to the subject of inquiry in order to get acquainted with the current corpus of information. While many researchers typically see examining the literature as time-consuming, terrible, and scary, it is important to note that it is also very gratifying. The literature evaluation is an essential component of the research process and has substantial impacts on all subsequent stages. The literature review plays a crucial role in shaping the overall perspective of the research study. It aids in establishing the theoretical framework of the investigation and developing the research technique. However, the task of composing the literature review necessitates certain abilities, such as effective time management, meticulous organization of resources, proficient computer utilization, adept information handling, proficient internet searching, and proficient writing (Baker, 2000b, p. 223).

15. Strategizing and Preparing for Literature Review and Writing

Academic writing plays a crucial role in educational and intellectual pursuits. However, academics and researchers must undertake significant and persistent efforts to maintain high-quality research and ensure its continued relevance (Castle & Keane, 2016). It is important for literature reviewers to keep in mind the possibility of encountering faults in the works they are evaluating. Consequently, they should consistently inquire as to the effectiveness of the procedures used and the consistency of the outcomes obtained via these approaches (Galvan et al., 2017). A crucial factor for the success of any literature review is the implementation of thorough and timely scheduling and preparation. If sufficient and timely procedures are not undertaken, the main goals of the exercise may not be achieved, regardless of the quantity of quantitative and qualitative literature obtained. The type of review being conducted and the specific context or circumstances that necessitate the review determine how the planning and preparation process for a literature review differs. For instance, when fulfilling the requirements of a master's or dissertation, there is often a limited and restricted timeframe available. In contrast, academic publications may have more flexibility in terms of time constraints, or in some cases, no time constraints at all. Additionally, other unique circumstances may also influence the planning and preparation process. The implementation of identical preparations is crucial for every review.

In their study, Machi and McEvoy (2016) provide an overview of the fundamental aspects of preparing and planning for a literature review. These include creating a suitable environment that is quiet, secluded, and serene, as well as acquiring the necessary materials for writing, photocopying, drawing, and other relevant tasks. Additionally, they emphasize the importance of being mentally prepared for the undertaking and organizing the review before initiating it. Furthermore, they recommend establishing and adhering to a timeline for completing the review while also allowing for necessary adjustments as needed. In order to effectively assess particular publications, whether in online or hard copy format, it is essential

for the reviewer to be well prepared (Murray & Moore, 2006, p. 56). Academics and practitioners can use experimentation with different theoretical frameworks and aspects, which are contextual models produced from studies based on literature reviews, as typologies or frameworks in their research efforts. Researchers and practitioners can utilize these models in both quantitative and qualitative techniques, as well as in practical settings. Once published, these models often contribute to the highly respected and valuable body of literature. Paul & Criado (2020). It's critical to recognize and choose the relevant papers based on the number of results that a literature search would often provide. Filtering the results electronically is a common method used when utilizing internet databases. But as part of the literature review procedure, it would always be necessary to identify and choose the real items to be thoroughly read and then synthesized by hand (Chigbu, 2019).

16. The Role and Significance of a Review of Literature

The need for a review of the literature in academic research is of utmost relevance. The importance of doing a literature review in research is underscored by Creswell (201, P.8) and Murray and Moore (2006, P.52). The process entails a methodical approach to identifying, locating, and analyzing appropriate sources that supply data related to the topic or problem under investigation. Furthermore, the authors assert that the study highlights deficiencies in current research and notable areas of contention. The objective of this study is to comprehensively document the outcomes derived from the many parallels used in the investigation, assemble a comprehensive analysis that provides contradictory findings or conclusions, and offer elucidations for essential terms. The authors emphasized the importance of employing a repository of resources for the purpose of review, as it ensures a complete and meticulous search for appropriate information, hence maintaining a high standard of quality. This entails using both acquired knowledge and synthesized information from archives and search engines, such as Google, in addition to accessing online books and periodicals. Furthermore, it is important to consider the importance of discerning the suitable choice and administration of references and citations, along with the utilization of endnotes and digital resources. Descriptive literature reviews tend to include more cut-and-paste, particularly when obtaining material from the description, while the high-level summary remains the most typical kind of transformation. Researchers determine the types of editing performed on the source sentences, including deletions, insertions, and replacements. (Jaidka et al., 2013). On the other hand, Cooper et al. (2018) stated that the technique of systematically exploring literature is widely acknowledged as an essential element of doing a systematic review. Researchers systematically explore literature to methodically explore research studies and provide a clear and transparent account of how the studies were identified. By ensuring that readers are well-informed about the steps used to locate the studies and how the review's results connect to the relevant data, this process promotes a clear understanding of the subject matter. A literature review can advance understanding of the issue under investigation by organizing important, pertinent sources and presenting them in a conversational or narrative style. As a stand-alone academic activity (writing output) that involves reading, summarizing, synthesizing, analyzing, and interpreting published information on a particular study topic, literature reviews may be known as desktop or secondary research (Iuliano et al., 2020).

17. Examining and Compiling the Literature Review

At this point, the researcher needs to assemble the gathered information, like the pieces of a jigsaw puzzle, in order to obtain a complete image or state of knowledge. Now that the researcher has broken down each item and found the most pertinent information, they are

prepared to analyze and synthesize it. According to Cronin et al. (2014), defining the kind of source is the most crucial consideration when performing a literature review study and deciding whether or not to include a publication. Furthermore, documenting the keywords and research methodology used during the literature review is crucial as these details must be provided later when reporting on the study's methodology (Timmins & McCabe, 2005). The process of finding the most relevant and suitable material in an organized manner follows subject selection (Snyder, 2019, pp. 336-337). Among the important things to consider during this phase are the following: What is the practical strategy for picking articles? How should the selection and search procedures be documented? Newell and Burnard (2006) suggested that reviewers need to examine comprehensiveness and relevance; they also stressed that the more specific the subject or research issue being researched is, the more focused the findings will be (Cronin et al., 2014). A comprehensive evaluation of research methodologies used in earlier studies on a certain subject, issue, or phenomenon under inquiry may be used to identify methodological gaps. The impact of technique on study results or conclusions may be shown by studies that fill methodological gaps. Mixed methods research can explain how explanatory variables predict an endogenous variable while also providing a comprehensive analysis that examines participant perceptions or experiences with the constructs being studied through in-depth interviews. A multi-method study can be conducted to address these gaps and demonstrate methodological triangulation. (Munn et al., 2020) Researchers can use a quick evaluation of the literature's quality to identify and choose relevant works of literature. Researchers can use different metrics to choose published items based on their quality. These consist of the authors, the number of citations, the caliber of the journals or publishing platforms, and the degree to which the publication's theme is pertinent to the issue or subject under examination (Batista et al., 2006).

18. Conclusion

In conclusion, based on the findings of this study, the process of conducting a comprehensive study of existing literature and engaging in scholarly writing plays a crucial role in addressing many academic challenges and policy issues. The absence of a literature review hinders the effective attainment and resolution of research goals and policy-related inquiries. Furthermore, it has played a pivotal role in other essential aspects of academia, including research, scholarly publications, theses, dissertations, and other related actions. However, composing a literature review on any subject is it academic, policy-related, or pertaining to other aspects of life, has consistently proven to be a challenging undertaking. This is primarily due to the distinct nature of literature reviews, which necessitate a methodical and structured approach in order to effectively conceptualize, arrange, organize, and ultimately arrive at an objective and logical conclusion. The literature review serves as a fundamental component for researchers, readers, and audiences to gain a comprehensive understanding of the problem or research question at hand. It encompasses an examination of previous works, including related studies, to ensure alignment with appropriate methodologies for data collection, synthesis, analysis, and reporting of results. In order to conduct a thorough and rigorous review of literature, it is essential to carefully examine and comprehend the established settings and criteria and follow them completely. This includes a critical analysis component. The importance of doing a literature review in academic endeavors, particularly for higher degrees, cannot be overstated. In many parts of the globe, the completion of a thesis or dissertation is a prerequisite for the conferral of these advanced degrees. Consequently, it becomes an integral component of the evaluation process inside academia. Numerous challenges arise from a reluctance to engage in critical reading, critique, and writing of reviews, as well as a lack of dedication to allocating sufficient time and adhering to

ethical guidelines for conducting reviews. This study not only reviews the outcomes of previous research but also critically evaluates the methodologies used in order to identify the research issues addressed and assess the existing gaps in knowledge that need further investigation.

19. Recommendation

Despite the existence of several kinds and forms of literature reviews, as well as their use in diverse settings, scholars, particularly those pursuing post-graduate degrees, often face challenges when attempting to produce comprehensive and high-quality literature reviews that meet the rigorous standards required for the attainment of both master's and doctoral degrees.

By conducting a literature review, valuable time, energy, and resources are conserved for academics, writers, policymakers, readers, and audiences, as it facilitates the clear identification of problems and the establishment of well-defined objectives.

Literature reviewers and researchers must possess a profound level of interest in certain subjects or study issues in order to effectively navigate the many barriers associated with writing and doing research. These challenges often include stress, difficulty, and frustration, which may hinder progress.

References

- Abd Karim, R., Abu, A. G., & Khaja, F. N. M. (2016). Brainstorming approach and mind mapping in writing activity. *Proceedings of EEIC*, 1(2), 423-429.
- Adams, R. J., Smart, P., & Huff, A. S. (2017). Shades of grey: guidelines for working with the grey literature in systematic reviews for management and organizational studies. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 19(4), 432-454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12102>
- Baker, M. J. (2000b). Writing a Literature Review. *The Marketing Review*, 1(2), 219-247. <https://doi.org/10.1362/1469347002529189>
- Bastalich, W. (2017). Content and context in knowledge production: a critical review of doctoral supervision literature. *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(7), 1145-1157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2015.1079702>
- Batista, P. D., Campiteli, M. G., Kinouchi, O., & Martinez, A. S. (2006). Is it possible to compare researchers with different scientific interests?. *Scientometrics*, 68(1), 179-189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-006-0090-4>
- Booth, A., Papaionnou, D., & Sutton, A. (2012). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review*. California: Sage Publications.
- Castle, J., & Keane, M. (2016). Five writing development strategies to help academics flourish as writers. *SAJHE*, 30 (6), 73-93. <https://doi.org/10.20853/30-6-721>
- Chamaz, K. (2006). *Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis*. California: Sage
- Chigbu, U. E. (2019). Visually hypothesising in scientific paper writing: Confirming and refuting qualitative research hypotheses using diagrams. *Publications*, 7(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/publications7010022>
- Cooper, C., Booth, A., Varley-Campbell, J., Britten, N., & Garside, R. (2018). Defining the process to literature searching in systematic reviews: a literature review of guidance and

- supporting studies. *BMC medical research methodology*, 18(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0545-3>
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. (4thEd.). Boston: Pearson Educational Books.
- Dixon-Woods, M., Bonas, S., Booth, A., Jones, D. R., Miller, T., Sutton, A. J., & Young, B. (2006). How can systematic reviews incorporate qualitative research? A critical perspective. *Qualitative Research*, 6 (1), 27-44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794106058867>
- Fawcett, S. E., Waller, M. A., Miller, J. W., Schwieterman, M. A., & Hazen, B. T., Overstreet, R. E. (2014). A trail guide to publishing success: Tips on writing influential conceptual, qualitative, and survey research. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 35 (1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12039>
- Foss, S. K. (2017). *Rhetorical criticism: Exploration and practice*. Illinois: Waveland Press.
- Galvan, J. L., & Galvan, M. C. (2017). *Writing literature reviews: A guide for students of the social and behavioral sciences*. New York, NY: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315229386>
- Gibbs, P., Cartney, P., Wilkinson, K., Parkinson, J., Cunningham, S., James-Reynolds, C., ... & MacDonald, A. (2017). Literature review on the use of action research in higher education. *Educational Action Research*, 25(1), 3-22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2015.1124046>
- Glonti, K., Cauchi, D., Cobo, E., Boutron, I., Moher, D., & Hren, D. (2019). A scoping review on the roles and tasks of peer reviewers in the manuscript review process in biomedical journals. *BMC medicine*, 17, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1347-0>
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 26 (2), 91-108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Hart, C. (1998). *Doing a literature review - Releasing the social science research imagination*. London: SAGE Publications Limited.
- Jaidka, K., Khoo, C. S., & Na, J. C. (2013, March). Literature review writing: how information is selected and transformed. In *Aslib Proceedings* (Vol. 65, No. 3, pp. 303-325). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00012531311330665>
- Jesson, J. K., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. (2016). *Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Kasser, T. (2017). Living both well and sustainably: a review of the literature, with some reflections on future research, interventions and policy. *Philosophical Transactions of Royal Society A*, 375(2095). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2016.0369>
- Iuliano, A., Aranda, Z., Colbourn, T., Agwai, I. C., Bahiru, S., Bakare, A. A., ... & INSPIRING Project Consortium. (2020). The burden and risks of pediatric pneumonia in Nigeria: A desk-based review of existing literature and data. *Pediatric pulmonology*, 55, S10-S21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.24626>
- Machi, L. A., & McEvoy, B. T. (2016). *The literature review: Six steps to success*. Corwin Press. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781071939031>
- Meesala, A. (2014). *Literature Review in Business Research*, chapter in Management research in India published by Management Teachers' Consortium (MTC).

- Munn, Z., Barker, T. H., Moola, S., Tufanaru, C., Stern, C., McArthur, A., & Aromataris, E. (2020). Methodological quality of case series studies: an introduction to the JBI critical appraisal tool. *JBI evidence synthesis*, 18(10), 2127-2133. <https://doi.org/10.11124/JBISRI-D-19-00099>
- Murray, R., & S. Moore. 2006. The handbook of research writing: A fresh approach. New York: McGraw Hill and Open University Press.
- Nelms, B. C. (2017). Writing for publication: your obligation to the profession. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care*, 31(4), 423-424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedhc.2017.04.020>
- Neuman, W. L. (2000). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Neuman, W. L. (2006). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. (6th ed.). Boston: Pearson Education.
- Newell, R., & Burnard, P. (2006). *Research for evidence-based practice. (No Title)*.
- Paul, J., & Criado, A. R. (2020). The art of writing literature review: What do we know and what do we need to know?. *International business review*, 29(4), 101717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2020.101717>
- Pan, M. L. (2016). *Preparing literature reviews: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. New York, NY: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315265872>
- Pautasso, M. (2013). Ten simple rules for writing a literature review. *Plos Computational Biology*, 9(7), 12-25. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003149>
- Plummer, K. (2011). Critical humanism and the Queer theory: Living with the tensions. In Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (pp 195-204). California: Sage Publications
- Robbins, S. P., Judge, T. A., & Vohra, N. (2011). *Organisational behaviour*. New Delhi: Pearson Education.
- Robson, C., & McCartan, K. (2016). *Real world research: A resource for users of social science research methods in applied settings*. (4th Ed.). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Shortliffe, E. H. (2016). The organization and content of informatics doctoral dissertations. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocw074>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Sweet, T. M. (2017). A Review of Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course With Examples in R and Stan (Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 107-110). Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.3102/1076998616659752>
- Tavakoli, A., Schlagwein, D., & Schoder, D. (2017). Open strategy: Literature review, re-analysis of cases and conceptualisation as a practice. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 26(3), 163-184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2017.01.003>
- Timmins, F., & McCabe, C. (2005). How to conduct an effective literature search. *Nursing Standar*, 20(11), 41-47. <https://doi.org/10.7748/ns2005.11.20.11.41.c4010>
- Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4 (3), 356-367. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305278283>

- Torraco, R. J. (2016). Writing integrative reviews of the literature: Methods and purposes. *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology (IJAVET)*, 7 (3), 62-70. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJAVET.2016070106>
- Wallace, M., & Wray, A. (2016). *Critical reading and writing for postgraduates*. (2nd Ed.). London: Sage Publications.