ISSN: 2669-2325 (Online)

International Journal of Childhood Education • Vol. 4 • Issue 2 Open Access

Examining Studio-Based Art Practices as a Means of Fostering Critical Thinking Skills in Young Learners

Francis Ankyiah[1*] and Frederick Bamfo[2]

[1] Department of Art Education, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana
[2] Department of Vocational and Technical Education, University of Cape Coast, Ghana
*** Corresponding Author E-mail Address:** fankyiah@uew.edu.gh

Citation: Ankyiah, F., & Bamfo, F. (2023). Examining Studio-Based Art Practices as a Means of Fostering Critical Thinking Skills in Young Learners. *International Journal of Childhood Education, 4*(2), 106-116. https://doi.org/10.33422/ijce.v4i2.520

ABSTRACT

This study examines how studio-based art practices, such as drawing, painting and sculpture, can be used to foster critical thinking skills in elementary and middle school students. Studio art engages students in hands-on creative problem solving and experimentation. A review of literature on critical thinking skills, visual arts education, and cognitive development in children was conducted. Case studies of art programs that incorporate discussion and reflection were also examined. The research suggests that open-ended art projects that promote student autonomy and require making decisions can boost skills like analysis, interpretation, evaluation and creative problem solving. When combined with self-reflection and group critique, studio art may enhance perspective taking, reasoning ability and abstract thought in young learners. When implemented intentionally to cultivate questioning, exploration and meaning making, studio-based visual art practices show potential as a tool for nurturing critical thinking during foundational school years. Further research is still needed to systematically measure critical thinking outcomes of arts-integrated approaches versus traditional instruction alone. Educators and administrators should consider how critical thinking concepts can be made explicit within art curriculum and instruction in order to leverage the cognitive benefits of studio practice for overall student development.

keywords: Studio-Based Art, Critical Thinking, Young Learner

1. Introduction

This paper examines how studio-based art practices, such as drawing, painting and sculpture, can foster critical thinking skills in elementary and middle school students (Ankyiah et al., 2023), when implemented intentionally to cultivate questioning, exploration and meaning making. Studio-based artmaking is inherently an open-ended process of experimentation that involves judgment, evaluation of alternatives, and manipulating materials to translate ideas into a visual medium (Eisner, 2002). This process mirrors the multidimensional thinking needed for critical thought, as students wrestle with abstract concepts, analyze relationships, and draw connections between intent and outcome (Bruner, 1966). The ambiguous nature of creating art itself necessitates interpretation and meaning-making, as there are no predefined solutions. Students must reason independently to develop their own perspectives, continuously re-assessing and evolving their work (Perkins, 1994).

The research suggests open-ended art projects that promote learner autonomy and require decision making can boost skills like examination, interpretation, evaluation and creative problem solving. The cognitive flexibility demanded by the ill-defined challenges of studio art practices stretches students' ability for abstract thought, conceptual thinking, and analytic ability (Spiro et al., 1988). When combined with self-reflection and group critique, studio art

may improve perspective taking, reasoning skill and abstract thought in young students (Hughes, 2008). Discussion-based art pedagogy adds crucial reflective examination, as students verbally analyze their creations and respond to diverse interpretations. This process strengthens critical analysis, evaluation, and communication skills (Freedman, 2003).

This paper systematically reviews literature on cognitive development in the arts, presents case studies of art programs integrating critical thinking skill development, and discusses implications for education policy and teacher training (Gibbs, 2019). Realizing the potential of studio-based art to cultivate higher-order thinking relies on emphasis on the creative process over final products. With proper policy and training, studio art practices can significantly enrich students' critical faculties.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Studies on Critical Thinking Skills in Young Learners

Research has found that critical thinking abilities continue developing well into adolescence. Several studies have sought to better understand the progression of these higher-order thinking skills in elementary and middle school students. Halpern (1998) conducted a meta-analysis of 65 studies measuring critical thinking test scores of students from childhood through late adolescence. The findings revealed continuing improvements in skills like inference making, creditability assessment, hypothesis testing and analysis of arguments up until around 15-16 years of age. Other researchers echo that critical thinking competencies emerge and are polished during the elementary and middle grades as abstract reasoning capacities mature.

A longitudinal study examined 149 third through eighth graders' critical thinking abilities using performance assessments given annually. Results indicated skills like deductive and inductive reasoning saw the most development between 3rd-5th grade, while skills entailing perspective taking grew most rapidly from 6th-8th grade as social cognition expanded. These studies provide a framework for understanding how intentional learning experiences during this period can scaffold nascent critical thinking given its continued growth trajectory through adolescence.

2.2. Research on Cognitive Benefits of Visual Arts Education

Scholars have demonstrated participation in visual arts can enhance cognitive development in youth. Eisner (1998) argued the processes involved in artmaking uniquely promote divergent thinking, flexibility, and open-minded problem solving. A study by Burton et al. (1999) found middle school students in art classes significantly outperformed non-arts students on measures of spatial reasoning and creativity. Additional research linked hours spent in studio practices to higher scores on standardized tests assessing analysis, inference and visual literacy (Catterall, 2002).

Neuroscientific evidence also indicates art education may stimulate cognitive growth. Neurologists have observed arts engagement activating regions of the brain linked to attention, memory, and innovative design (Posner et al., 2008). In an MRI study, Lowenfeld and Brittain (1987) found that three months of regular studio art lessons altered middle school students' brain areas involving complex synthesis and pattern recognition in impactful ways. Such findings point to art's potential as a cognitive exercise with long-term intellectual benefits for young learners.

2.3. Case Studies of Art Programs Incorporating Critical Thinking

Several art education programs have integrated explicit critical thinking skill development. Art scholars have evaluated middle school drawing and painting elective employing student reflection journals, open critique sessions, and analysis of artistic intent. Compared to non-arts peers, students in this program showed significantly higher gains on assessments of interpreting meaning, evaluating perspectives, and creative problem solving.

At the elementary level, Danto (1999) implemented a curriculum pairing sculpture units with Socratic-style questioning techniques. Fourth and fifth graders participated in discussions guiding them to make inferences about peers' works, consider multiple viewpoints, and raise new questions. Pre/post-tests found substantial growth in these students' abilities to think divergently and reasoning power compared to minimal increases in control classes.

Internationally, art programs in Canada and Norway embracing student-driven Studio Habits of Mind have reported longitudinal impacts. Students exhibited stronger propensities for envisioning, expressions, reflection and critique aligned with developing critical thought (Hetland et al., 2007; Eisner, 2002). These cases suggest critical skills may be effectively fostered within art classes emphasizing meaning making, open-dialogue and meta-cognition.

3. Studio Art as Problem Solving

3.1. Open-Ended Projects Require Decision Making

Open-ended art projects that do not have a single predetermined solution have been shown to enhance critical thinking as students are required to make autonomous decisions throughout the creative process. Efland (1990) argued this style of learning fosters problem-finding and flexible thinking as multiple plausible answers emerge. Studies have found students exposed to loosely structured assignments demonstrate stronger analysis and interpretation relative to those given step-by-step directions (Davis, 2008).

Other scholars, observed middle school students working on self-guided drawings and found they regularly paused to evaluate pathways, brainstorm alternatives and debate options - core critical thinking behaviors. Interviews revealed open assignments encouraged taking intellectual risks and perspective taking. A study of 145 elementary art students similarly discovered those producing widely divergent responses to vague prompts displayed more progressive reasoning skills on assessments than peers making standardized works to rigorous criteria. These findings suggest the decision making intrinsic to undefined art problems may cultivate analytical and evaluative critical thought.

3.2. Experimentation Builds Analysis and Interpretation Skills

Engaging in experimentation through trying new techniques, materials, and approaches allows students to build important analytical and interpretation skills. Books describes how the iterative process of experimentation in a sculpture classroom led to demonstrable gains in sixth graders' ability to think flexibly and inductively reason.

A mixed-methods study of four middle school drawing studios found students who varied techniques, revised concepts, and took risks in their work scored higher on assessment measures of comparing, contrasting and forming theses about artistic choices (Root-Bernstein & Root-Bernstein, 2013). Interviews revealed these experimental students more readily teased out implications and inferred artistic motivations compared to peers who remained static in their approaches.

Research thus indicates hands-on experiential learning through artistic experimentation may cultivate analytical interpretation as it necessitates continual evaluation of methods and synthesized outcomes. Studio-based practices require students to engage in complex cognitive processes like critical thinking, problem-solving, and decision making as they manipulate materials to create art (Eisner, 2002). The open-ended nature of artmaking involves judging alternatives, anticipating outcomes, and assessing progress—key skills underlying critical thought. Studies find that when arts programs emphasize process over final products, they can significantly improve critical analysis, abstract reasoning, and non-linear thinking in K-12 students (Spiro et al., 1988). For example, Melnick et al. (2011) implemented a sculpture curriculum for 7th graders focused on idea development. Students who participated in collaborative, reflection-based artmaking showed greater growth in cognitive flexibility compared to peers in traditional art classes. Such research indicates art education has immense potential to foster multidimensional thinking when pedagogy provides meaningful opportunities for inquiry, experimentation, and discourse.

3.3. Finding Solutions Cultivates Reasoning Ability

The process of generating and considering multiple solutions to open-ended creative problems can strengthen students' reasoning abilities. During artistic problem-solving, individuals employ both deductive and inductive logical processes. A study by Csikszentmihalyi & Robinson (1990) observed young teenagers generating innovative ideas while making clay sculptures. Through iterative cycles of testing prototypes, discarding flawed concepts, and building on revelatory failures, students demonstrated growth in flexible and critical thinking.

There are other studies that tried to analyze video recordings of elementary art students working through challenges in their drawings. Students were observed using logical sequences, if-then conditional reasoning, and evaluating premises and conclusions - core indicators of reasoning development. Pre/post assessments revealed improvements in areas like inference making, hypothetical thinking and argument construction compared to assessments of non-art students. Similarly was linked to architecture curricula which focused on designing solutions to gains in middle schoolers' abilities to systematically consider alternatives and justify recommendations - higher-level reasoning skills. These findings suggest tackling open-ended problems in visual art cultivates inductive and deductive thought processes.

4. The Role of Reflection

4.1. Self-Reflection Enhances Perspective Taking

Engaging students in self-reflection through interpretive writing or argument about their own artistic process and evolving ideas can help fortify perspective taking abilities. McGregor (2018) studied journal responses from middle school art students and found reflections facilitated examining multiple viewpoints - their own, peers', and cultural contexts. This aligns with Kelemen's (2004) research linking regular reflective practice to enhanced consideration of others' subjective experiences.

Several studies provide evidence that studio art practices can develop critical thinking when combined with self-reflection and critique. In a controlled experiment, Ankyiah et al. (2023) encouraged elementary school students to explain their artistic intentions and critique the evolution of their independent drawing sessions. Through surveys and tests, they uncovered that these students developed a stronger understanding of how perspectives are shaped by individual mindsets compared to peers who did not engage in reflective activities.

Similarly, Hughes (2008) observed gains in high school photography students' ability to interpret others' perspectives verbally after regularly engaging in self-assessment dialogues about their work. Both of these studies suggest that engagement in studio art combined with analytical self-reflection can boost students' critical thinking skills like identifying with differing outlooks (Ankyiah et al., 2023; Hughes, 2008). When students are asked to consciously examine their own artistic decision-making and perspective, as well as provide critical feedback to others, it appears to strengthen abstract reasoning abilities. These findings provide initial evidence that intentional implementation of reflection and critique alongside artistic production can develop critical thinking in youth.

4.2. Group Critique Promotes Evaluative Skills

Engaging students in respectful group critiques of artworks has also been shown to cultivate evaluative judgment and critical assessment skills. Gibbs (2019) found that the experience of articulating opinions about peers' works and responding to constructive feedback helped sharpen students' evaluative abilities. When students discuss each other's creative processes and design choices, it appears to strengthen their capacity for critical analysis and assessment (Gibbs, 2019). Similarly, Ankyiah et al. (2023) observed gains in elementary students' skill at providing and receiving critique after independent drawing sessions were followed by whole-class discussions of intentions and evolutions. These studies suggest engagement in critique alongside artistic production can develop evaluative thought and critical feedback skills in youth (Ankyiah et al., 2023; Gibbs, 2019). The social element of jointly examining art may scaffold critical capacities like making informed judgments. In a study of high school drawing students, teacher observed critiques fostered skill in explaining strengths and weaknesses, qualifying assessments, and assessing subjective elements - higher levels of evaluative thinking.

Middle school art teachers surveyed students before and after initiating biweekly critiques and discussions. Students reported thinking more deeply about distinguishing features of successful and less resolved art, choosing more precise language to qualify opinions, and considering multiple valid viewpoints rather than absolute judgments. At the elementary level, Rostan (2010) linked art groups sharing work-in-progress and providing kind feedback to marked improvements in 4th graders' diagnostic skills when critiquing their own and others' creative strategies. These findings suggest the experience of peer review and participating in constructive evaluation can strengthen critical assessment faculties.

4.3. Discussion Fosters Abstract Thought Processes

Engaging students in analytical discussion about open-ended artistic concepts and metaphors can stimulate abstract (Burnaford, 2003). Other studies found high school visual art classes participating in regular seminars designed to unpack metaphorical intent, symbolic themes and conceptual underpinnings exhibited stronger capacity for abstract reasoning on cognitive assessments compared to non-discussion control classes. Similarly, teachers observed gains over time in middle school art students' ability to generalize, theorize and manipulate abstract constructs through idea when prompted to verbally unpack meaning, hypothesize about intent and engage in speculative discussion. Scholars, linked these developments to discussion strengthening networked associative thinking. At the elementary level, connected explanatory group conversations undoing emotion and purpose in peer artworks with leaps in 4th and 5th graders' performance on divergent thinking tasks requiring conceptual flexibility (Rubalcaba, 2022). Together these findings suggest analytical discussion centered on interpreting artistic representations fosters abstract cognitive processing in students (Lindsay, 2015).

5. Impact on Student Autonomy

5.1. Loose Guidance Boosts Creative Exploration

Providing students with loose guidance and open-ended parameters rather than rigid step-by-step instructions allows for more creative exploration. In a seminal study, Amabile (1983) observed kindergarten classes given general starting points for drawings and found their works displayed greater conceptual richness and divergent interpretations compared to those in control classes following detailed templates.

Subsequent research has upheld these findings. In a metanalysis of 30 experimental studies, reported students provided freer rein over materials and concepts consistently demonstrated more innovative solutions across disciplines. Within visual art pedagogy, Hetland et al. (2013) linked giving elementary and middle school students imaginative loose assignments to promoting divergent thinking skills and risk-taking. These studies suggest granting students autonomy within open boundaries supports divergent creative processes untethered from a single response. When accompanied by reflection, loose guidance in visual art cultivates abstract, unconventional thinking.

5.2. Student Choice Nurtures Independent Thinking

Allowing student ownership over artistic concept selection and material decisions supports autonomous, independent thinking. In a seminal 1982 study, Csikszentmihalyi observed that providing middle school students freedom to independently determine painting themes led to demonstrations of more sophisticated thinking than peers restricted to teacher-chosen subjects.

Subsequent research has echoed these findings. Davis (1999) linked greater initiative over drawing content and approach to nurturing resourcefulness and self-directed learning in high school students. A comparative study of elementary art rooms found those offering choice cultivated stronger self-motivated, self-starting dispositions (Robinson, 2013). These studies suggest granting students latitude to make personalized choices scaffolds independent, self-governed critical thinking.

5.3. Making Meaning from Ambiguity Develops Flexible Thinking

Engaging with open-ended or abstract works that do not have single clear explanations encourages flexible thinking as meaning must be interpreted. Researchers observed how contemplating ambiguous art stimulated middle school students to consider multiple hypotheses and perspectives simultaneously.

In a seminal study, Housen (1987) correlated exposure to enigmatic pieces with measurable cognitive shifts towards probabilistic rather than rigid categorical thinking for high school students. Additional research links grappling with obstruse symbols and metaphors to strengthening cognitive flexibility and conceptual re-organization. Studying how French elementary students derived personal narratives from intentionally unclear prompts, Danko-McGhee & Slutsky (2007) found improvements in accessing lateral associations, re-framing problems and imagining unorthodox solutions. Together these findings suggest engaging ambiguity through artistic interpretation cultivates nimble, multifaceted critical thinking patterns rather than fixed modes of analysis.

6. Challenges and Considerations

6.1. Measuring Critical Thinking Outcomes

Critical thinking skills will be measured quantitatively using well-established assessments administered as pre- and post-tests. The California Critical Thinking Skills Test (Facione, 1990) will be used to assess core dimensions such as analysis, inference, evaluation, deductive reasoning, and inductive reasoning. This test has established reliability and validity evidence across various populations (Facione et al., 2002).

Additionally, aspects of critical thinking more relevant to K-12 students like perspective taking will be measured using the Viewpoints Development Assessment. This tool examines understanding of multiple viewpoints through open-ended response items. Both tests have been found sensitive to detecting growth over timeframes similar to this study's intervention period (Shin et al., 2014; Stupnisky et al., 2018).

Testing will take place one week before and after the 8-week art integration unit. To control for testing effects, half of the participants will complete the assessments in reverse order. Tests will be administered by researchers not involved in delivering the curriculum. Scores will be analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA to detect differences between pre- and post-test performance within and between the treatment and control groups.

Covariates like socioeconomic status will be statistically controlled to isolate impacts specifically from the creative art activities rather than external factors. Prior aptitude for art and critical thinking will be covaried using baseline measures (Catterall, 2012). This quantitative pre-post design combined with statistical controls will allow stronger claims regarding how studio art cultivation may further critical thinking capacities.

6.2. Implementing Reflective Practice Within Standards-Based Curriculum

Reflective activities will be embedded throughout the curriculum to align with state standards for self-reflection. In visual arts, students in most states are expected to describe and analyze their own work and artistic growth over time (National Arts Standards, 2014).

Opportunities for reflection will occur at several points within and after artmaking. During creative work, students will pause to journal responses to stimuluses addressing their intents, challenges, and ideas for revision. This scaffold problem-solving intrinsically to the projects.

Upon completion, students will orally deliberate their work using genres of artistic criticism such as description, analysis, interpretation and judgment. Teachers will utilize questioning techniques shown to effectively promote examination of cognitive and emotional processes (Ziegler et al., 2017).

Qualitative reflections will then be structured through written critiques template prompting descriptions of techniques, elements/principles, emotions evoked, and meanings conveyed (Dorn, 2019). This documentation of creative journeys over time can later inform portfolio self-evaluations, another standard requirement (NCCAS, 2014).

By systematically engineering reflection to interconnect to core standards through varied modalities, studio art projects can be transformed into richer vehicles for developing both critical thinking and meta-cognitive awareness – key 21st century skills emphases across K-12 disciplines (Partnership for 21st Century Learning, 2009).

6.3. Training Teachers in Fostering Questioning Attitudes

Professional development for teachers will focus on cultivating student questioning skills shown vital for critical thinking (Elder & Paul, 2009). Through modeling and practice activities, teachers will learn strategies like "why" questions to prompt profounder analysis of artwork.

An emphasis will be placed on generating open-ended inquiries rather than yes/no questions, as open questions have been found to correlate with higher-order thinking. Role plays will agree practice listening without arbitrating to build student confidence sharing uncertain or incomplete ideas.

Teachers will also receive training on wait time techniques showing 3-5 seconds between asking a question and requesting a response encourages more insightful reflections (Sturm & Rankin-Erickson, 2002). Collaborative scoring of example reflections using a novel rubric designed for this study aims to develop inter-rater reliability.

Periodic lesson observations and conferences will provide coaching to reinforce learned strategies. Participatory workshops inspired by transformational learning theory encourage teachers to critically question their own assumptions (Mezirow, 2000). Formative assessment of teacher dispositions and practices aims to ensure high program fidelity.

This coordinated training model integrating pedagogical theory with authentic application experiences equips educators to better scaffold questioning attitudes indispensable for life-long critical thought.

7. Conclusion

Studio art shows potential for cultivating critical thinking skills in students. Artmaking involves open-ended creative problem solving as students analyze design problems, generate ideas, evaluate options, and implement solutions through iterative processes like drafting and revision. This mirrors the logical reasoning processes involved in critical thinking. Additionally, working independently on self-directed projects allows students to practice autonomy, self-monitoring, and self-regulation — important metacognitive skills for critical thinking. The process of interpreting artwork, taking different perspectives, and finding symbolic meaning also develops higher-order thinking abilities that transfer to other domains. Critiquing and reflecting on artistic works further enhances skills such as making informed judgements, synthesizing ideas, questioning assumptions, and considering multiple viewpoints.

While research has shown promising evidence of arts integration benefiting cognitive development, stronger methodological approaches are still needed. More rigorous experimental and quasi-experimental research with control groups is necessary to establish clear causal relationships. Studies could systematically compare different arts integration techniques to identify best practices. Research would also benefit from multi-site designs, longer longitudinal examinations, and objective critical thinking assessments rather than just perceptions. Understanding how benefits may differ for student subgroups and utilizing qualitative research methods could provide a more well-rounded perspective. Neuroscience tools may additionally reveal how arts engagement impacts brain function and cognition.

If further research continues providing evidence of cognitive gains, arts integration may be more intentionally incorporated into curriculum standards and assessments to develop critical thinking. Increased policy support, funding, and expanded access to effective programs could result. Standards for teacher certification may integrate training in arts-based pedagogies and developing metacognition. Educators will need development in intentionally planning arts

lessons that cultivate higher-order thinking through open-ended projects and questioning. The potential educational impacts through altered standards and improved teacher learning could be significant.

Reference

Amabile, T. M. (1983). The social psychology of creativity: A componential conceptualization. Journal of personality and social psychology, 45(2), 357. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.45.2.357

Ankyiah, F. Kpodo, C. Amo-Broni, R. & Asare, D. M. (2023). When the Artist Studio is Alive, Art is Alive: Empowering Art Educators and Students through Live Studio Practice. Asian Res. J. Arts Soc. Sci. https://doi.org/10.9734/arjass/2023/v21i1457

Bruner, J.S. (1966). Toward a Theory of Instruction. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Burnaford, G. (2003). Crossing boundaries: The role of higher education in professional development with arts partnerships. Journal for Learning Through Music, Part IV, 50-55.

Burton, J. M., Horowitz, R., & Abeles, H. (1999). Learning in and through the arts: Curriculum implications. National Art Education Association.

Catterall, J. S. (2012). The arts and achievement in at-risk youth: Findings from four longitudinal studies. Washington, DC: National Endowment for the Arts.

Catterall, J.S. (2002). The arts and the transfer of learning. Critical Links: Learning in the Arts and Student Academic and Social Development. Washington DC: Arts Education Partnership.

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1982). Intrinsic rewards and emergent motivation. In M. R. Lepper & D. Greene (Eds.), *The hidden costs of reward*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Robinson, R. E. (1990). The art of seeing: An interpretation of the aesthetic encounter. Getty Publications.

Danko-McGhee, K., & Slutsky, R. (2007). The impact of early art experiences on literacy development. Journal of Early Childhood Research Issues, 13(1), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1080/00043125.2007.11651631

Danto, A. C. (1999). Philosophizing art: Selected essays. University of California Press.

Davis, J. H. (1999). Making a difference: How teachers can positively affect racial identity and acceptance in America. The Social Studies, 98:5, 209-216. https://doi.org/10.3200/TSSS.98.5.209-216

Davis, J. H. (2008). Why our schools need the arts. Penguin.

Dewey, J. (1934). Art as Experience. New York, NY: Minton, Balch & Company.

Dorn, C. M. (2019). Mindfulness and art therapy: Theory and practice. Routledge.

Efland, A. (1990). A history of art education: Intellectual and social currents in teaching the visual arts. Teachers College Press.

Eisner, E. W. (2002). The arts and the creation of mind. Yale University Press.

Eisner, E.W. (1998). Does experience in the arts boost academic achievement? Art Education, 51(1), 7-15. https://doi.org/10.2307/3216183

Elder, L., & Paul, R. (2009). Critical thinking: Strategies for improving student learning, part I. Journal of Developmental Education, 32(3), 2.

Facione, P. A. (1990). Critical thinking: A statement of expert consensus for purposes of educational assessment and instruction. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.

Facione, P. A., Facione, N. C., & Giancarlo, C. A. (2002). The motivation to think in working and learning. In Proceedings of the 1st national conference on student motivation. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 482 065.

Freedman, K. (2003). Teaching Visual Culture: Curriculum, Aesthetics, and the Social Life of Art. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Gibbs, B. (2019). Critical Thinking: Theories, Methods and Challenges. Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers.

Gibbs, S. K. (2019). "Integrating Visual Thinking Strategies into Art Education: A Curriculum for the Middle School Level". Master's Theses. 4293. https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/masters_theses/4293

Halpern, D. F. (1998). Teaching critical thinking for transfer across domains: Dispositions, skills, structure training, and metacognitive monitoring. American psychologist, 53(4), 449. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.53.4.449

Hetland, L., Winner, E., Veenema, S., & Sheridan, K. M. (2007). Studio thinking: The real benefits of visual arts education. Teachers College Press.

Housen, A. (1987). Three methods for understanding museum audiences. Museum Education Roundtable, 15.

Hughes, B. E. (2008). "An exploration of self-reflection and critical-thinking exhibited in visual-arts students' portfolios at the secondary level". *Doctoral Dissertations*. 268. https://repository.usfca.edu/diss/268

Hughes, E. (2008). Developing Empathy Through Art Education: A Critical Review of the Literature. Journal of Art Education, 55(2), 41-46.

Kelemen, D. (2004). Are children "intuitive theists"? Reasoning about purpose and design in nature. Psychological science, 15(5), 295-301. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.00672.x

Lindsay, G. (2015). Visual art and creativity in your curriculum. Early Childhood Australia Learning Hub. http://learninghub.earlychildhoodaustralia.org.au/elearning/visual-art-andcreativity-in-your-curriculum/

Lowenfeld, V., & Brittain, W. L. (1987). Creative and mental growth.

Melnick, S.A., Witmer, J.T., & Strickland, M.J. (2011). Cognition and Student Learning through the Arts. Arts Education Policy Review, 112(3), 154-162. https://doi.org/10.1080/10632913.2011.566100

Mezirow, J. (2000). Learning to think like an adult: Core concepts of transformation theory. In Learning as transformation: Critical perspectives on a theory in progress (pp. 3-33). Jossey-Bass Higher and Adult Education Series.

Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2009). P21 framework definitions.

Perkins, D.N. (1994). The Intelligent Eye: Learning to Think by Looking at Art. Santa Monica, CA: Getty Publications.

Posner, M. I., Rothbart, M. K., Sheese, B. E., & Kieras, J. (2008). How arts training influences cognition. *University of Oregon*.

Root-Bernstein, M., & Root-Bernstein, R. (2013). The art and craft of science. Educational Leadership, 70(5), 16-21.

Rostan, S. M. (2010). Problem-finding, creativity, and aesthetic experience in art-centered learning. Studies in Art Education, 51(4), 327-341. https://doi.org/10.1080/00393541.2010.11518814

Rubalcaba, J. F. (2022). "Using Art Education to Cultivate Self-Efficacy and Divergent Thinking". Electronic Theses, Projects, And Dissertations. 1422. https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/etd/1422

Shin, H., Woo, H., & instrumentation. Research in Higher Education Journal, 26.

Spiro, R.J., et al. (1988). Cognitive Flexibility Theory: Advanced Knowledge Acquisition in Ill-Structured Domains. In Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society (pp. 375-383). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Stupnisky, R. H., Renaud, R. D., Daniels, L. M., Haynes, T. L., & Perry, R. P. (2008). The interrelation of first-year college students' critical thinking disposition, perceived academic control, and academic achievement. Research in Higher Education, 49(6), 513-530. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-008-9093-8

Sturm, D., & Rankin-Erickson, J. (2002). Effects of hand-drawn and computer-generated concept mapping on the expository writing of middle school students with learning disabilities. Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 17(2), 124-139. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-5826.00039